arXiv:1607.05393v1 [math.GR] 19 Jul 2016

ON THE LOW DIMENSIONAL COHOMOLOGY GROUPS OF THE IA-AUTOMORPHISM GROUP OF A FREE GROUP OF RANK THREE

Dedicated to Professor Shigeyuki Morita on the occasion of his 70th birthday

Takao Satoh

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science Division II, Tokyo University of Science, 1-3, Kagurazaka, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8601, Japan

Abstract. In this paper we study the structure of the rational cohomology groups of the IA-automorphism group IA_3 of a free group of rank three by using combinatorial group theory and representation theory. In particular, we detect non-trivial irreducible component in the second cohomology group of IA_3, which does not contained in the image of the cup product map of the first cohomology groups. We also show that the image of the triple cup product map of the first cohomology groups in the third cohomology group is trivial. As a corollary, we obtain that the fourth term of the lower central series of IA_3 has finite index in that of the Andreadakis-Johnson filtration.

1. Introduction

Let F_n be a free group of rank $n \geq 2$ with basis $x_1, \ldots, x_n$, and $\mathrm{Aut}\, F_n$ the automorphism group of F_n. As far as we know, the first contribution to the study of the (co)homology groups of $\mathrm{Aut}\, F_n$ was given by Nielsen [36] in 1924, who showed $H_1(\mathrm{Aut}\, F_n, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ for $n \geq 2$ by using a presentation for $\mathrm{Aut}\, F_n$. Now we have a broad range of results for the (co)homology groups of $\mathrm{Aut}\, F_n$ due to many authors. In 1984, Gersten [16] showed $H_2(\mathrm{Aut}\, F_n, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ for $n \geq 5$ In 1980s, by introducing the Outer space, Culler and Vogtmann [10] made a breakthrough in the computation of homology groups of the outer automorphism groups $\mathrm{Out}\, F_n$ of free groups F_n. To put it briefly, the Outer space is an analogue of the Teichmüller space on which the mapping class of a surface naturally acts. By using the geometry of the Outer space, Hatcher and Vogtmann [17] computed $H_4(\mathrm{Aut}\, F_4, \mathbf{Q}) = \mathbf{Q}$, and On the other hand, by using sophisticated homotopy theory, Galatius [14] showed that the stable integral homology groups of $\mathrm{Aut}\, F_n$ are isomorphic to those of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$ of degree n. In particular, the stable rational homology groups $H_q(\mathrm{Aut}\, F_n, \mathbf{Q})$ are trivial for $n \geq 2q+1$. This result is a quite contrast to the case of the mapping class groups of surfaces. Intuitively, we can see this from the fact that the free group has no geometric extra structure like surface groups.

With respect to unstable cohomology groups, $\mathrm{Aut}\, F_n$ behave in much different and mysterious way. The unstable cohomology groups of the (outer) automorphism groups of free groups has also been studied by many authors. For unstable case, the Outer space

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20F28(Primary), 20J06(Secondary).

Key words and phrases. Automorphism groups of free groups, Second cohomology groups, Johnson homomorphisms, Andreadakis-Johnson filtration.

is a powerful tool for computation of the cohomology groups. For example, in 1993, Brady [6] computed the integral cohomology groups of $\mathrm{Out}\, F_3$. Other than Hatcher and Vogtmann's results for the rational cohomology as mentioned above, Gerlitz showed $H_7(\mathrm{Aut}\, F_5, \mathbf{Q}) = \mathbf{Q}$ in 2002, and Ohashi [38] computed $H_8(\mathrm{Aut}\, F_6, \mathbf{Q}) = \mathbf{Q}$. On the other hand, in 1999, Morita [33] constructed a series of unstable homology classes of $\mathrm{Out}\, F_n$ with Kontsevich's results [22] and [23]. (See also [34].) These homology classes are called the Morita classes. It is known that the first and the second one are non-trivial, and hence are generators of $H_4(\mathrm{Aut}\, F_4, \mathbf{Q})$ and $H_8(\mathrm{Aut}\, F_6, \mathbf{Q})$ respectively. (See [34] and [9] respectively.) Today, the non-triviality of the higher Morita classes is under intense study by many authors.

Let H be the abelianization of F_n, and IA_n the kernel of the natural homomorphism $\mathrm{Aut}\, F_n \to \mathrm{Aut}\, H$ induced from the abelianization homomorphism $F_n \to H$. The group IA_n is called the IA-automorphism group of F_n. By the spectral sequence of the group extension of IA_n by $\mathrm{Aut}\, H$, the cohomology groups of IA_n are closely related to those of $\mathrm{Aut}\, F_n$. However, the structure of the cohomology groups of IA_n is far from well-understood in contrast to those of $\mathrm{Aut}\, F_n$. To our best knowledge, in the (co)homology groups of IA_n, completely determined and explicitly written down one is only the first integral homology group $H_1(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbf{Z})$, which is obtained by Cohen-Pakianathan [7, 8]CFarb [13] and Kawazumi [21] independently. (See Subsection 2.2 for details.) Krstić and McCool [24] showed that IA_3 is not finitely presentable. This shows that the second homology group $H_2(\mathrm{IA}_3, \mathbf{Z})$ is not finitely generated. This fact also follows by a recent work of Bestvina, Bux and Margalit [4]. By using the Outer space, they showed that the quotient group of IA_n by the inner automorphism group $\mathrm{Inn}\, F_n$ has a $2n-4$-dimensional Eilenberg-Maclane space, and that $H_{2n-4}(\mathrm{IA}_n/\mathrm{Inn}\, F_n, \mathbf{Z})$ is not finitely generated. For $n \geq 4$, it is not known whether IA_n is finitely presentable or not. Namely, at the present stage, even $H_2(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbf{Z})$ is not determined explicitly. Pettet [39] determined the image of the rational cup product of the first cohomologies in $H^2(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbf{Q})$, and gave its irreducible GL-decomposition. Furthermore, recently Day and Putman [11] obtained an explicit finite set of generators for $H_2(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbf{Z})$ as a $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z})$-module.

In this paper, we mainly study the second rational cohomology group $H^2(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbf{Q})$ for the case where $n = 3$. In particular, we detect a new $\mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbf{Q})$-irreducible component of $H^2(\mathrm{IA}_3, \mathbf{Q})$ by using combinatorial group theory and representation theory. By Pettet [39], the $\mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbf{Q})$-irreducible decomposition of the image of the cup product $\cup_{\mathbf{Q}} : \Lambda^2 H^1(\mathrm{IA}_3, \mathbf{Q}) \to H^2(\mathrm{IA}_3, \mathbf{Q})$. As a corollary to Theorem 4.5 below, we obtain the following.

Theorem 1 (= Theorem 4.5)**.** *The quotient module* $H^2(\mathrm{IA}_3, \mathbf{Q})/\mathrm{Im}(\cup_{\mathbf{Q}})$ *contains a* $\mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbf{Q})$*-irreducible representation* $(3, 2, -2)^* = (2, -2, -3) \cong D^{-3} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} (5, 1)$.

(For notations, see Subsection 2.5.)

In order to show Theorem 1, we use our previous results about the Andreadakis-Johnson filtration $\mathrm{IA}_n = \mathcal{A}_n(1) \supset \mathcal{A}_n(2) \supset \cdots$ and the Johnson homomorphisms of $\mathrm{Aut}\, F_3$. Historically, the Andreadakis-Johnson filtration was originally introduced by Andreadakis [1] in the 1960s. In 1980s, Johnson used this filtration to study the group structure of the mapping class groups of surfaces. Andreadakis conjectured that

the filtration $\mathrm{IA}_n = \mathcal{A}_n(1) \supset \mathcal{A}_n(2) \supset \cdots$ coincides with the lower central series $\mathrm{IA}_n = \mathcal{A}'_n(1) \supset \mathcal{A}'_n(2) \supset \cdots$. Andreadakis showed that this conjecture is true for $n = 2$ and any $k \geq 2$, and $n = 3$ and $k \leq 3$. Bachmuth [2] showed $\mathcal{A}'_n(2) = \mathcal{A}_n(2)$ for any $n \geq 2$. This result is also induced from the fact that the first Johnson homomorphism is the abelianization of IA_n by independent works Cohen-Pakianathan [7, 8]CFarb [13] and Kawazumi [21]. Pettet [39] showed that $\mathcal{A}'_n(3)$ has at most finite index in $\mathcal{A}_n(3)$ for any $n \geq 4$. Bartholdi [3] asserted that the "rational" version of the Andreadakis conjecture is true. He also studied the original Andreadakis conjecture and asserted that it is not true for the case where $n = 3$ and degree 7 by using a computer [1]. In this paper, from our computation in the proof of Theorem 1, as a corollary, we also obtain the following.

Corollary 1 (= Corollary 4.6)**.** $\mathcal{A}_3(4)/\mathcal{A}'_3(4)$ *is finite.*

Finally, we consider the third rational cohomology group $H^3(\mathrm{IA}_3, \mathbf{Q})$. The results by work of Bestvina, Bux and Margalit [4] as mentioned above, we see that $H^3(\mathrm{IA}_3, \mathbf{Q})$ is infinitely generated. The following theorem shows that non-trivial elements in $H^3(\mathrm{IA}_3, \mathbf{Q})$ cannot be detected by the triple cup product of the first cohomology group of IA_3.

Theorem 2 (= Theorem 4.7)**.** *The image of the triple cup product*

$$\cup^3_{\mathrm{IA}_3} : \Lambda^3 H^1(\mathrm{IA}_3, \mathbf{Q}) \to H^3(\mathrm{IA}_3, \mathbf{Q})$$

is trivial.

We remark that the arguments and techniques which we use in this paper are applicable to study the cohomology groups of IA_n for general $n \geq 4$. However, the amount of calculation and the complexity vastly increase with the increasing n. In the present paper, we give the first combinatorial group theoretic approach to the study of the low dimensional cohomology groups of the IA-automorphism groups of free groups.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries 4
2.1. Notation and conventions 4
2.2. IA-automorphism groups 4
2.3. Free Lie algebra generated by H 5
2.4. Andreadakis-Johnson filtration 6
2.5. Representation theory of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbf{Q})$ 7
3. (Co)homology groups of IA_n 9
3.1. A minimal presentation and $H_2(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbf{Z})$ 9
3.2. On the second cohomology group $H^2(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbf{Z})$ 11
3.3. On the third cohomology group $H^3(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbf{Z})$ 12
4. The case where $n = 3$ 15
5. Proof of Proposition 4.4 18

[1]Recently, Andrew Putman, one of our colleagues, asked Laurent Bartholdi of details of his proof for the Andreadakis conjecture. In their communications, Bartholdi agreed that there is a gap in his argument, and he decided to publish an erratum. Thus the conjecture seems to be open now.

6. Acknowledgments 23
References 23

2. Preliminaries

In this section, after fixing some notation and conventions, we recall the IA-automorphism groups, Free Lie algebras, the Andreadakis-Johnson filtration and the representation theory of the general linear group over $\mathbf{Q}$.

2.1. Notation and conventions.

Let G be a group.

- The abelianization of G is denoted by G^{ab}.
- The automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}\, G$ acts on G from the right. For any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}\, G$ and $x \in G$, the action of σ on x is denoted by x^{σ}.
- For a normal subgroup N, we often denote the coset class of an element $g \in G$ by the same g in the quotient group G/N if there is no confusion.
- For elements x and y of G, the commutator bracket $[x, y]$ of x and y is defined to be $[x, y] := xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$. Then for any $x, y, z \in G$, we have

$$[xy, z] = [x, [y, z]][y, z][x, z], \qquad [x, yz] = [x, y][x, z][[z, x], y] \tag{1}$$

$$[x^{-1}, z] = [[x^{-1}, z], x][x, z]^{-1}, \quad [x, y^{-1}] = [x, y]^{-1}[y, [y^{-1}, x]] \tag{2}$$

  For elements $g_1, \ldots, g_k \in G$, a simple k-fold commutator $[[\cdots[[y_1, y_2], y_3], \cdots], y_k]$ is denoted by $[y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_k]$ for simplicity. For subgroups H and K of G, we denote by $[H, K]$ the commutator subgroup of G generated by $[h, k]$ for $h \in H$ and $k \in K$.
- For any $\mathbf{Z}$-module M, we denote $M \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$ by the symbol obtained by attaching a subscript or a superscript $\mathbf{Q}$ to M, like $M_{\mathbf{Q}}$ or $M^{\mathbf{Q}}$. Similarly, for any $\mathbf{Z}$-linear map $f : A \to B$, the induced $\mathbf{Q}$-linear map $f \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{Q}} : A_{\mathbf{Q}} \to B_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is denoted by $f_{\mathbf{Q}}$ or $f^{\mathbf{Q}}$.

2.2. IA-automorphism groups.

Fix a basis $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ of a free group F_n. We denote by H the abelianization $H_1(F_n, \mathbf{Z})$ of F_n. Let $\rho : \mathrm{Aut}\, F_n \to \mathrm{Aut}\, H$ be the natural homomorphism induced from the abelianization of F_n. We identify $\mathrm{Aut}\, H$ with the general linear group $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z})$ by fixing the basis of H induced from the basis $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ of F_n. The kernel IA_n of ρ is called the IA-automorphism group of F_n. It is clear that the inner automorphism group $\mathrm{Inn}\, F_n$ of F_n is contained in IA_n. Nielsen [35] showed that $\mathrm{IA}_2 = \mathrm{Inn}\, F_2$. For $n \geq 3$, IA_n is much larger than $\mathrm{Inn}\, F_n$. In fact, Magnus [25] showed that IA_n is finitely generated by automorphisms

$$K_{ij} : x_t \mapsto \begin{cases} {x_j}^{-1} x_i x_j, & t = i, \\ x_t, & t \neq i \end{cases}$$

for distinct $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ and

$$K_{ijl} : x_t \mapsto \begin{cases} x_i[x_j, x_l], & t = i, \\ x_t, & t \neq i \end{cases}$$

for distinct i, j, $l \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ such that $j > l$. For any $1 \leq i \leq n$, set $\iota_i := K_{1i}K_{2i}\cdots K_{ni}$. Namely, ι_i is the inner automorphism of F_n given by $x \mapsto x_i^{-1}xx_i$.

Cohen-Pakianathan [7, 8]CFarb [13] and Kawazumi [21] independently showed

$$H_1(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \Lambda^2 H \tag{3}$$

as a $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z})$-module where $H^* := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(H, \mathbf{Z})$ is the $\mathbf{Z}$-linear dual group of H. This fact is obtained from the above result of Magnus and the first Johnson homomorphism which is defined below.

2.3. **Free Lie algebra generated by H.**

Let $\Gamma_n(1) \supset \Gamma_n(2) \supset \cdots$ be the lower central series of a free group F_n defined by the rule

$$\Gamma_n(1) := F_n, \quad \Gamma_n(k) := [\Gamma_n(k-1), F_n], \quad k \geq 2.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{L}_n(k) := \Gamma_n(k)/\Gamma_n(k+1)$ the graded quotient of the lower central series of F_n, and by $\mathcal{L}_n := \bigoplus_{k\geq 1}\mathcal{L}_n(k)$ the associated graded sum. See $\mathcal{L}_n(1) = H$. Since the group $\mathrm{Aut}\, F_n$ naturally acts on $\mathcal{L}_n(k)$ for each $k \geq 1$, and since IA_n acts on it trivially, the action of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z})$ on each $\mathcal{L}_n(k)$ is well-defined. Furthermore, the graded sum $\mathcal{L}_n$ naturally has a graded Lie algebra structure induced from the commutator bracket on F_n, and is isomorphic to the free Lie algebra generated by H. (See [26] and [40] for basic material concerning the free Lie algebra.) It is well known due to Witt [45] that each $\mathcal{L}_n(k)$ is a $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z})$-equivariant free abelian group of rank

$$r_n(k) := \frac{1}{k}\sum_{d|k} \mu(d) n^{\frac{k}{d}} \tag{4}$$

where μ is the Möbius function, and d runs over all positive divisors of k. For example, the $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z})$-module structure of $\mathcal{L}_n(k)$ for $1 \leq k \leq 3$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{L}_n(1) = H, \quad \mathcal{L}_n(2) = \Lambda^2 H, \\
&\mathcal{L}_n(3) = (H \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \Lambda^2 H) \big/ \langle x \otimes y \wedge z + y \otimes z \wedge x + z \otimes x \wedge y \mid x, y, z \in H \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Hall [18] constructed an explicit basis of $\mathcal{L}_n(k)$. More precisely, he introduced basic commutators of F_n, and showed that (the coset classes of) basic commutators of weight k form a basis of $\mathcal{L}_n(k)$. For example, basic commutators of weight less than four are listed below.

k	basic commutators	
1	$x_1, \ldots, x_n$	
2	$[x_i, x_j]$	$j < i$
3	$[x_i, x_j, x_l]$	$i > j \leq l$

(See also [19] for details for the basic commutators of the free groups.)

2.4. **Andreadakis-Johnson filtration.**

In this subsection, we recall the Andreadakis-Johnson filtration and Johnson homomorphisms of $\mathrm{Aut}\, F_n$. For each $k \geq 1$, the action of $\mathrm{Aut}\, F_n$ on the nilpotent quotient group $F_n/\Gamma_n(k+1)$ of F_n induces a homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Aut}\, F_n \to \mathrm{Aut}(F_n/\Gamma_n(k+1)).$$

We denote its kernel by $\mathcal{A}_n(k)$. Then the groups $\mathcal{A}_n(k)$ define a descending central filtration

$$\mathrm{IA}_n = \mathcal{A}_n(1) \supset \mathcal{A}_n(2) \supset \mathcal{A}_n(3) \supset \cdots$$

of IA_n. We call this filtration the Andreadakis-Johnson filtration of $\mathrm{Aut}\, F_n$. Andreadakis showed that

Theorem 2.1 (Andreadakis [1])**.**

(1) *For any k, $l \geq 1$, $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_n(k)$ and $x \in \Gamma_n(l)$, $x^{-1}x^{\sigma} \in \Gamma_n(k+l)$.*
(2) *For any k and $l \geq 1$, $[\mathcal{A}_n(k), \mathcal{A}_n(l)] \subset \mathcal{A}_n(k+l)$.*
(3) $\bigcap_{k\geq 1} \mathcal{A}_n(k) = 1$.

For each $k \geq 1$, the group $\mathrm{Aut}\, F_n$ acts on $\mathcal{A}_n(k)$ by conjugation, and it naturally induces an action of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbf{Z}) = \mathrm{Aut}\, F_n/\mathrm{IA}_n$ on the graded quotients $\mathrm{gr}^k(\mathcal{A}_n) := \mathcal{A}_n(k)/\mathcal{A}_n(k+1)$ by Part (2) of Theorem 2.1. The graded sum $\mathrm{gr}(\mathcal{A}_n) := \bigoplus_{k\geq 1} \mathrm{gr}^k(\mathcal{A}_n)$ has a graded Lie algebra structure induced from the commutator bracket on IA_n.

For each $k \geq 1$, define the homomorphism $\tilde{\tau}_k : \mathcal{A}_n(k) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(H, \mathcal{L}_n(k+1))$ by

$$\sigma \ \mapsto \ (x \mapsto x^{-1}x^{\sigma}), \quad x \in H.$$

Then the kernel of $\tilde{\tau}_k$ is $\mathcal{A}_n(k+1)$. Hence it induces the injective homomorphism

$$\tau_k : \mathrm{gr}^k(\mathcal{A}_n) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(H, \mathcal{L}_n(k+1)) = H^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{L}_n(k+1).$$

The homomorphisms $\tilde{\tau}_k$ and τ_k are called the k-th Johnson homomorphisms of $\mathrm{Aut}\, F_n$. Each τ_k is $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbf{Z})$-equivariant homomorphism. For the Magnus generators of IA_n, their images by τ_1 are given by

$$\tau_1(K_{ij}) = x_i^* \otimes [x_i, x_j], \quad \tau_1(K_{ijl}) = x_i^* \otimes [x_j, x_l]. \tag{5}$$

Hence τ_1 is surjective. From this fact, we see that the first Johnson homomorphism induces the abelianization of IA_n. (For details about the Johnson homomorphisms, see [42], [43] and [44] for example.)

Let $\mathrm{IA}_n = \mathcal{A}'_n(1) \supset \mathcal{A}'_n(2) \supset \cdots$ be the lower central series of IA_n, and set $\mathrm{gr}^k(\mathcal{A}'_n) := \mathcal{A}'_n(k)/\mathcal{A}'_n(k+1)$ for each k. Since the Andreadakis-Johnson filtration is central by Part (2) of Theorem 2.1, we see $\mathcal{A}'_n(k) \subset \mathcal{A}_n(k)$ for any $k \geq 1$. Then we have the following conjecture.

Conjecture 2.2 (Andreadakis's conjecture)**.** *For any $n \geq 3$ and $k \geq 1$, $\mathcal{A}'_n(k) = \mathcal{A}_n(k)$.*

The restriction of $\tilde{\tau}_k : \mathcal{A}_n(k) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(H, \mathcal{L}_n(k+1))$ to $\mathcal{A}'_n(k)$ induces the homomorphism

$$\tau'_k : \mathrm{gr}^k(\mathcal{A}'_n) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(H, \mathcal{L}_n(k+1)).$$

In this paper, by abuse of language, we also call τ'_k the k-th Johnson homomorphism of $\mathrm{Aut}\, F_n$. We can see that each τ'_k is $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbf{Z})$-equivariant by the same way as τ_k. Then we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{A}_n(k+1)/\mathcal{A}'_n(k+1) \to \mathcal{A}_n(k)/\mathcal{A}'_n(k+1) \to \mathrm{gr}^k(\mathcal{A}_n) \to 0$$

induced from natural homomorphisms.

2.5. **Representation theory of** $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbf{Q})$**.**

Here we briefly review well-known results in representation theory for the general linear group $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbf{Q})$, including Cartan-Weyl's highest weight theory. The notation we use here is according to our previous paper [12].

First, we fix a basis $e_1,\dots,e_n \in H_{\mathbf{Q}}$, and by using it, we identify $\mathrm{GL}(H_{\mathbf{Q}})$ with $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbf{Q})$. Let $e_1^*,\dots,e_n^*$ be its dual basis in $H_{\mathbf{Q}}^* := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}(H_{\mathbf{Q}},\mathbf{Q})$. Let

$$T_n := \{\mathrm{diag}(t_1,\dots,t_n) \in \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbf{Q}) \,|\, t_j \neq 0,\ 1 \leq j \leq n\}$$

be the maximal torus of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbf{Q})$. For any $1 \leq i \leq n$, define the one-dimensional representations $\varepsilon_i : T_n \to \mathbf{Q}^\times$ of T_n by $\varepsilon_i(\mathrm{diag}(t_1,\dots,t_n)) = t_i$. Then

$$P := \{\lambda_1\varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n\varepsilon_n \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbf{Z},\ 1 \leq i \leq n\} \cong \mathbf{Z}^n,$$
$$P^+ := \{\lambda_1\varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n\varepsilon_n \in P \mid \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n\}$$

give the weight lattice and the set of dominant integral weights of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbf{Q})$ respectively. For simplicity, we write $\lambda = (\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^n$ for $\lambda = \lambda_1\varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n\varepsilon_n \in P$ or P^+ if there is no confusion.

For a rational representation V of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbf{Q})$, consider the irreducible decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in P} V_\lambda$ as a T-module where

$$V_\lambda := \{v \in V \mid tv = t_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots t_n^{\lambda_n}v \text{ for any } t \in T\}.$$

We call this decomposition the weight decomposition of V with respect to T. If $V_\lambda \neq \{0\}$, then we call λ the weight of V. For a weight λ, a non-zero vector $v \in V_\lambda$ is call a weight vector of weight λ.

Let U be the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbf{Q})$ consists of all upper unitriangular matrices in $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbf{Q})$. For a rational representation V of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbf{Q})$, we set

$$V^U := \{v \in V \mid uv = v \text{ for all } u \in U\}.$$

We call a non-zero vector $v \in V^U$ a maximal vector of V. Since V^U is T-invariant subspace, we have the irreducible decomposition $V^U = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in P} V_\lambda^U$ as a T-module where $V_\lambda^U := V^U \cap V_\lambda$. Then we have the following.

Theorem 2.3 (Cartan-Weyl's highest weight theory)**.**

(1) *Any rational representation of V is completely reducible.*
(2) *Suppose V is an irreducible rational representation of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbf{Q})$. Then V^U is one-dimensional, and the weight λ of $V^U = V_\lambda^U$ belongs to P^+. We call this λ the highest weight of V, and any non-zero vector $v \in V_\lambda^U$ is called a highest weight vector of V.*
(3) *For any $\lambda \in P^+$, there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) irreducible rational representation L^λ of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbf{Q})$ with highest weight λ. Moreover, for two $\lambda,\mu \in P^+$, we have $L^\lambda \cong L^\mu$ if and only if $\lambda = \mu$.*

(4) *The set of isomorphism classes of irreducible rational representations of G is parameterized by the set P^+ of dominant integral weights.*
(5) *Let V be a rational representation of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbf{Q})$ and χ_V the character of V as a T-module. Then for two rational representation V and W, they are isomorphic as G-modules if and only if $\chi_V = \chi_W$.*

From the above theorem, we can parameterize the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible rational representations of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbf{Q})$ by P^+. We can do this with the determinant representations. For any $e \in \mathbf{Z}$, let $D^e : \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbf{Q}) \to \mathbf{Q}^\times$ be the e-th power of the determinant representation of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbf{Q})$ defined by $X \to (\det X)^e$. The highest weight of this representation is given by $(e, e, \cdots, e) \in P^+$. If $\lambda \in P^+$ satisfies $\lambda_n < 0$ then we have then

$$L^\lambda \cong D^{-\lambda_n} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} L^{(\lambda_1-\lambda_n,\lambda_2-\lambda_n,\ldots,\lambda_{n-1}-\lambda_n,0)}.$$

Therefore we can parameterize the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible rational representations of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbf{Q})$ by the set $\{(\lambda, e)\}$ where λ is a partition such that $\ell(\lambda) \leq n$ and $e \in \mathbf{Z}_{<0}$ where $\ell(\lambda)$ is the length of λ. Moreover, the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible polynomial representations is parameterized by the set of partitions λ such that $\ell(\lambda) \leq n$. We remark that the dual representation of $L^{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\ldots,\lambda_n)}$ is isomorphic to $L^{(-\lambda_n,\ldots,-\lambda_2,-\lambda_1)}$. In the following, for simplicity, for any $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$, we write the irreducible representation L^λ as $[\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n]$ according to the usual notation in representation theory.

Here we give a few examples. The standard representation $H_{\mathbf{Q}}$ of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbf{Q})$ and its dual representation $H^*_{\mathbf{Q}}$ are irreducible representations with highest weight $[1, 0, \ldots, 0]$ and $[0, \ldots, 0, -1]$ respectively. Hence, we have $H_{\mathbf{Q}} \cong [1]$ and $H^*_{\mathbf{Q}} \cong D^{-1} \otimes [1^{n-1}]$. Set $W := H_1(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \Lambda^2 H$. From Pieri's rule, the irreducible decomposition of $W_{\mathbf{Q}}$ as a $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbf{Q})$-module is given by

$$W_{\mathbf{Q}} \cong [1] \oplus (D^{-1} \otimes [2^2, 1^{n-3}]).$$

In Section 4, we consider the irreducible decompositions of several $\mathrm{GL}(W_{\mathbf{Q}})$-modules. In order to find highest weight vectors of them, we have to know the actions of elementary matrices of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbf{Q})$ on the Magnus generators of $W_{\mathbf{Q}}$. For any $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, let $E_{x_i x_j} \in \mathrm{Aut}\, F_n$ be the automorphism of F_n defined by

$$x_p \mapsto \begin{cases} x_i x_j, & p = i, \\ x_p, & p \neq i. \end{cases}$$

Let $E_{ij} \in \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbf{Z}) \subset \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbf{Q})$ be the image of $E_{x_i x_j}$ by the natural homomorphism $\rho : \mathrm{Aut}\, F_n \to \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbf{Z})$. Then the actions of E_{ij} on the Magnus generators are given as follows:

$K_{ij}^{E_{pq}} = K_{ij}$	$K_{ijl}^{E_{pq}} = K_{ijl}$
$K_{ij}^{E_{il}} = K_{ij} + K_{ilj}$	$K_{ijl}^{E_{iq}} = K_{ijl}$
$K_{ij}^{E_{jl}} = K_{ij} + K_{il}$	$K_{ijl}^{E_{jq}} = K_{ijl} + K_{iql}$
$K_{ij}^{E_{li}} = K_{ij} - K_{lij}$	$K_{ijl}^{E_{pi}} = K_{ijl} + K_{plj}$
$K_{ij}^{E_{lj}} = K_{ij}$	$K_{ijl}^{E_{pj}} = K_{ijl}$
$K_{ij}^{E_{ij}} = K_{ij}$	$K_{ijl}^{E_{ij}} = K_{ijl}$
$K_{ij}^{E_{ji}} = K_{ij} + K_{ji}$	$K_{ijl}^{E_{il}} = K_{ijl}$
	$K_{ijl}^{E_{ji}} = K_{ijl} + K_{jli} + K_{il} - K_{jl}$
	$K_{ijl}^{E_{jl}} = K_{ijl}$
	$K_{ijl}^{E_{lj}} = K_{ijl}$

Here $1 \leq i, j, l, p, q \leq n$ are distinct indices.

3. (Co)homology groups of IA_n

In this section, we give combinatorial group theoretic descriptions of low dimensional (co)homology groups of IA_n. In particular, by using it, we study the second and the third (co)homology groups of IA_3.

Since IA_3 is not finitely presentable due to Krstić and McCool [24], it immediately turns out that $H_2(\mathrm{IA}_3, \mathbf{Z})$ is not finitely generated. This fact is also reproved by Bestvina, Bux and D. Margalit [4]. It is a natural problem to give an explicit generating set of $H_2(\mathrm{IA}_3, \mathbf{Z})$. However, if we approach this problem, we immediately face the difficulties coming from the complexity of the structure of the group of relators among Magnus generators of IA_3. In order to make the problem more easy, we consider the rationalization of the problem. After this, we can use the representation theory of the general linear group $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbf{Q})$, and its highest weight theory. But, it is still too complicated to give a complete answer to the above problem. In the following, we give partial results for this problem.

3.1. A minimal presentation and $H_2(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbf{Z})$.

In this subsection, we give a combinatorial group theoretical interpretation of the second homology group of IA_n. Let F be a free group on the Magnus generators: K_{ij} for any $1 \leq j < i \leq n$ and K_{ijl} for any $i \neq j < l \neq i$. By abuse of the language, we use the same notation K_{ij} and K_{ijl} for the elements in F as the automorphisms K_{ij} and K_{ijl} in IA_n. The rank of F is $n^2(n-1)/2$. Then we have a natural surjective homomorphism $\pi : F \to \mathrm{IA}_n$, and the group extension

$$1 \to R \to F \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{IA}_n \to 1 \tag{6}$$

of IA_n where $R = \mathrm{Ker}(\pi)$. Since the abelianization $H_1(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbf{Z})$ of IA_n is the free abelian group generated by (the coset classes of) the Magnus generators, we verify that π induces the isomorphism

$$\pi_* : H_1(F, \mathbf{Z}) \to H_1(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbf{Z}).$$

This shows that R is contained in the commutator subgroup $[F,F]$ of F. From the homological five-term exact sequence of (6), we have

$$H_2(F,\mathbf{Z}) \to H_2(\mathrm{IA}_n,\mathbf{Z}) \to H_1(R,\mathbf{Z})_F \to H_1(F,\mathbf{Z}) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} H_1(\mathrm{IA}_n,\mathbf{Z}) \to 0,$$

and hence

$$H_2(\mathrm{IA}_n,\mathbf{Z}) \cong H_1(R,\mathbf{Z})_F.$$

Let $F = \Gamma_F(1) \supset \Gamma_F(2) \supset \cdots$ be the lower central series of F, and set $\mathcal{L}_F(k) := \Gamma_F(k)/\Gamma_F(k+1)$ for each $k \geq 1$. Let $R = R_1 \supset R_2 \supset \cdots$ be the descending filtration of R defined by $R_k := R \cap \Gamma_F(k)$ for each $k \geq 1$. We have $R_k = R$ for $k = 1$ and 2. For each $k \geq 1$, let

$$\pi_k : \mathcal{L}_F(k) \to \mathrm{gr}^k(\mathcal{A}'_n)$$

be the homomorphism induced from the natural projection $\pi : F \to \mathrm{IA}_n$. By observing $R_k/R_{k+1} \cong (R_k \,\Gamma_F(k+1))/\Gamma_F(k+1)$, we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \to R_k/R_{k+1} \to \mathcal{L}_F(k) \xrightarrow{\pi_k} \mathrm{gr}^k(\mathcal{A}'_n) \to 0. \tag{7}$$

For each $k \geq 2$. The natural projection $R \to R/R_{k+1}$ induces the surjective homomorphism

$$\psi_k : H_1(R,\mathbf{Z}) \to H_1(R/R_{k+1},\mathbf{Z}).$$

By considering the right action of F on R, defined by

$$r \cdot x := x^{-1}rx, \quad r \in R, \;\; x \in F,$$

we see ψ_k is an F-equivariant homomorphism. Hence it induces the surjective homomorphism

$$H_1(R,\mathbf{Z})_F \to H_1(R/R_{k+1},\mathbf{Z})_F,$$

which is also denoted by ψ_k. For $k = 2$, $H_1(R/R_3,\mathbf{Z})_F = R/R_3$ since F acts on R/R_3 trivially. Therefore we see that if R/R_3 is a non-trivial module, we can detect non-trivial elements in $H_2(\mathrm{IA}_n,\mathbf{Z})$.

In the exact sequence (7) for $k = 2$, since both of $\mathcal{L}_F(2)$ is a free abelian group, so is R/R_3. Since $\mathcal{A}'_n(3)$ has a finite index in $\mathcal{A}_n(3)$ by Pettet [39], we see $\mathrm{gr}^2_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{A}'_n) = \mathrm{gr}^2_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{A}_n)$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}}(R/R_3) &= \dim_{\mathbf{Q}}((R/R_3)_{\mathbf{Q}}) \\
&= \dim_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{L}_F^{\mathbf{Q}}(2)) - \dim_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathrm{gr}^2_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{A}_n)) \\
&= \frac{1}{8}n^2(n-1)(n^3-n^2-2) - \frac{1}{6}n(2n^3-5n-3).
\end{aligned}$$

This means $H_2(\mathrm{IA}_n,\mathbf{Z})$ contains a free abelian group of this rank.

Next, we consider the kernel of $\psi_2 : H_1(R,\mathbf{Z})_F \to H_1(R/R_3,\mathbf{Z})_F$. Observe the exact sequences

$$0 \to R_3/[F,R] \to \quad R/[F,R] \quad \xrightarrow{\psi_2} R/R_3 \to 0, \tag{8}$$

$$0 \to (R_4[F,R])/[F,R] \to \quad R_3/[F,R] \quad \to R_3/(R_4[F,R]) \to 0 \tag{9}$$

of $\mathbf{Z}$-modules. This shows that if $H_2(\mathrm{IA}_n,\mathbf{Z}) \cong R/[F,R]$ is not isomorphic to R/R_3, by showing $R_3/(R_4[F,R]) \neq 0$, we have the potential to detect non-trivial second homology

classes which we cannot detect by R/R_3. In Section 4, we show that $(R_3/(R_4[F,R]))\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}\mathbf{Q}$ is a non-trivial irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbf{Q})$. Consider the homomorphism

$$\mathcal{L}_F(2)\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}\mathcal{L}_F(1)\to\mathcal{L}_F(3)$$

induced from the commutator bracket of F. This homomorphism induces the homomorphism

$$[\,,\,]: R/R_3\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}\mathcal{L}_F(1)\to R_3/R_4.$$

Then $R_3/(R_4[F,R])\cong\mathrm{Coker}([\,,\,])$.

3.2. On the second cohomology group $H^2(\mathrm{IA}_n,\mathbf{Z})$.

Here we consider the second cohomology group of IA_n, and the image of the cup product $\cup:\Lambda^2H^1(\mathrm{IA}_n,\mathbf{Z})\to H^2(\mathrm{IA}_n,\mathbf{Z})$. From the cohomological five-term exact sequence of (6), we have

$$0\to H^1(\mathrm{IA}_n,\mathbf{Z})\xrightarrow{\pi^*}H^1(F,\mathbf{Z})\to H^1(R,\mathbf{Z})^F\to H^2(\mathrm{IA}_n,\mathbf{Z})\to H^2(F,\mathbf{Z}),$$

and hence

$$H^2(\mathrm{IA}_n,\mathbf{Z})\cong H^1(R,\mathbf{Z})^F.$$

For any $k\geq 2$, the natural projection $R\to R/R_{k+1}$ induces the injective homomorphism

$$\psi^k: H^1(R/R_{k+1},\mathbf{Z})^F\to H^1(R,\mathbf{Z})^F.$$

In particular, for $k=2$, we have $H^1(R/R_3,\mathbf{Z})^F\cong H^1(R/R_3,\mathbf{Z})$ since F acts on R/R_3 trivially. Namely we can regard $H^1(R/R_3,\mathbf{Z})$ as a $\mathbf{Z}$-submodule of $H^2(\mathrm{IA}_n,\mathbf{Z})$. Then we have

Lemma 3.1. *The image of the cup product*

$$\cup:\Lambda^2H^1(\mathrm{IA}_n,\mathbf{Z})\to H^2(\mathrm{IA}_n,\mathbf{Z})$$

is isomorphic to the image of

$$\iota^*: H^1(\mathcal{L}_F(2),\mathbf{Z})\to H^1(R/R_3,\mathbf{Z})$$

induced from the natural inclusion $R/R_3\to\mathcal{L}_F(2)$.

Proof. First, observing the last three terms of the cohomological five-term exact sequence of

$$1\to\mathcal{A}'_n(2)\to\mathrm{IA}_n\to\mathrm{IA}_n^{\mathrm{ab}}\to 1, \tag{10}$$

we have

$$0\to H^1(\mathcal{A}'_n(2),\mathbf{Z})^{\mathrm{IA}_n}\to H^2(\mathrm{IA}_n^{\mathrm{ab}},\mathbf{Z})\to H^2(\mathrm{IA}_n,\mathbf{Z}).$$

Since $H^1(\mathcal{A}'_n(2),\mathbf{Z})^{\mathrm{IA}_n}=H^1(\mathrm{gr}^2(\mathcal{A}'_n),\mathbf{Z})$, we obtain an exact sequence

$$0\to H^1(\mathrm{gr}^2(\mathcal{A}'_n),\mathbf{Z})\to H^2(\mathrm{IA}_n^{\mathrm{ab}},\mathbf{Z})\to H^2(\mathrm{IA}_n,\mathbf{Z}).$$

Since $\mathrm{IA}_n^{\mathrm{ab}}$ is a free abelian group of finite rank, we have the natural isomorphism $H^2(\mathrm{IA}_n^{\mathrm{ab}},\mathbf{Z})\cong\Lambda^2H^1(\mathrm{IA}_n,\mathbf{Z})$. Then the map $H^2(\mathrm{IA}_n^{\mathrm{ab}},\mathbf{Z})\to H^2(\mathrm{IA}_n,\mathbf{Z})$ is regarded as the cup product $\cup:\Lambda^2H^1(\mathrm{IA}_n,\mathbf{Z})\to H^2(\mathrm{IA}_n,\mathbf{Z})$.

On the other hand, from the cohomological five-term exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1(\mathrm{gr}^2(\mathcal{A}_n), \mathbf{Z}) \to H^1(\mathcal{L}_F(2), \mathbf{Z}) \to H^1(R/R_3, \mathbf{Z})^{\mathcal{L}_F(2)} \\ \to H^2(\mathrm{gr}^2(\mathcal{A}'_n), \mathbf{Z}) \to H^2(\mathcal{L}_F(2), \mathbf{Z})$$

of (7) for $k = 2$, since $\mathcal{L}_F(2)$ acts on R/R_3 trivially, we have $H^1(R/R_3, \mathbf{Z})^{\mathcal{L}_F(2)} = H^1(R/R_3, \mathbf{Z})$. Then we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathrm{gr}^2(\mathcal{A}'_n), \mathbf{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tg}} & H^2(\mathrm{IA}_n^{\mathrm{ab}}, \mathbf{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & H^2(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbf{Z}) \\ & & \| & & \downarrow \mu & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathrm{gr}^2(\mathcal{A}'_n), \mathbf{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{L}_F(2), \mathbf{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\iota^*} & H^1(R/R_3, \mathbf{Z}) \end{array}$$

where tg is the transgression, μ is the natural isomorphism, and ι^* is the homomorphism induced from the inclusion $R/R_3 \to \mathcal{L}_F(2)$. Hence we obtain $\mathrm{Im}(\cup) \cong \mathrm{Im}(\iota^*)$. $\square$

We remark that in the rational coefficients case, we have $\mathrm{Im}(\cup_{\mathbf{Q}}) \cong \mathrm{Im}(\iota^*_{\mathbf{Q}})$. Furthermore, if $\mathcal{A}'_n(3) = \mathcal{A}_n(3)$ then $\mathrm{gr}^2(\mathcal{A}'_n) = \mathrm{gr}^2(\mathcal{A}_n)$ is a free abelian group, and hence ι^* is surjective. This implies that $\mathrm{Im}(\cup) \cong H^1(R/R_3, \mathbf{Z})$. At the present stage, it is not known if $\mathcal{A}'_n(3)$ coincides with $\mathcal{A}_n(3)$ for general $n \geq 4$.

Next, we consider a method to detect non-trivial elements in $H^2(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbf{Z})$, which do not belong to $\mathrm{Im}(\cup)$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \to R_4[R, F]/[R, F] \to R/[F, R] \to R/R_4[R, F] \to 0,$$

we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(R/R_4[R, F], \mathbf{Z}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(R/[F, R], \mathbf{Z}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(R_4[R, F]/[R, F], \mathbf{Z}).$$

Here $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(R/[F, R], \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^2(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbf{Z})$. On the other hand, since R/R_3 is a free abelian group, the split exact sequence

$$0 \to R_3/R_4[R, F] \to R/R_4[F, R] \to R/R_3 \to 0$$

as a $\mathbf{Z}$-module induces the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(R/R_3, \mathbf{Z}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(R/R_4[F, R], \mathbf{Z}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(R_3/R_4[R, F], \mathbf{Z}) \to 0.$$

Thus by studying $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(R_3/R_4[R, F], \mathbf{Z})$, we have the potential to detect a non-trivial submodule in $H^2(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbf{Z})$ which is not contained in $\mathrm{Im}(\cup)$.

3.3. **On the third cohomology group $H^3(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbf{Z})$.**

Here we consider the third cohomology group of IA_n. In particular, we characterize the image of the triple cup product

$$\cup^3_{\mathrm{IA}_n} : \Lambda^3 H^1(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbf{Q}) \to H^3(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbf{Q}).$$

In general, by the reduction theorem, we have the isomorphism

$$H^1(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}(R^{\mathrm{ab}}, \mathbf{Q})) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^3(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbf{Q}).$$

This isomorphism is given by the differential homomorphism $d_2^{1,1}$ of the Lyndon-Hochshild-Serre spectral sequence of the group extension (6). (See [20] for details.) The IA_n-equivariant exact sequence

$$0 \to R_3/[R,R] \xrightarrow{\alpha} R^{\mathrm{ab}} \xrightarrow{\beta} R/R_3 \to 0$$

induces the IA_n-equivariant exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}(R/R_3, \mathbf{Q}) \xrightarrow{\beta^*} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}(R^{\mathrm{ab}}, \mathbf{Q}) \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}(R_3/[R,R], \mathbf{Q}) \to 0.$$

In the following, for simplicity, for any $\mathbf{Q}$-vector space V, we write $V^*_{\mathbf{Q}}$ for the $\mathbf{Q}$-linear dual space $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}(V, \mathbf{Q})$ of V. Then the above exact sequence induces the cohomological long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \;\to\; & H^0(\mathrm{IA}_n, (R/R_3)^*_{\mathbf{Q}}) \xrightarrow{\beta^0} H^0(\mathrm{IA}_n, (R^{\mathrm{ab}})^*_{\mathbf{Q}}) \xrightarrow{\alpha^0} H^0(\mathrm{IA}_n, (R_3/[R,R])^*_{\mathbf{Q}}) \\ \xrightarrow{\delta} \; & H^1(\mathrm{IA}_n, (R/R_3)^*_{\mathbf{Q}}) \xrightarrow{\beta^1} H^1(\mathrm{IA}_n, (R^{\mathrm{ab}})^*_{\mathbf{Q}}) \xrightarrow{\alpha^1} H^1(\mathrm{IA}_n, (R_3/[R,R])^*_{\mathbf{Q}}) \\ \to \; & \cdots \end{aligned}$$

of IA_n. Since IA_n acts on R_3/R_4 and $R_3/R_4[F,R]$ trivially, we have the sequence

$$(R_3/R_4[F,R])^*_{\mathbf{Q}} \hookrightarrow (R_3/R_4)^*_{\mathbf{Q}} \hookrightarrow H^0(\mathrm{IA}_n, (R_3/[R,R])^*_{\mathbf{Q}})$$

of natural $\mathbf{Q}$-linear injective homomorphisms. Through these maps, we consider $(R_3/R_4[F,R])^*_{\mathbf{Q}}$ and $(R_3/R_4)^*_{\mathbf{Q}}$ as submodules of $H^0(\mathrm{IA}_n, (R_3/[R,R])^*_{\mathbf{Q}})$.

Now we consider the restriction of the connecting homomorphism δ to $(R_3/R_4)^*_{\mathbf{Q}}$. To begin with, we determine the kernel of δ.

Lemma 3.2. $\mathrm{Im}(\alpha^0) \cap (R_3/R_4)^*_{\mathbf{Q}} = (R_3/R_4[F,R])^*_{\mathbf{Q}}$.

Proof. Consider the natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(\mathrm{IA}_n, (R^{\mathrm{ab}})^*_{\mathbf{Q}}) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}(R/[F,R], \mathbf{Q}), \\ H^0(\mathrm{IA}_n, (R_3/[R,R])^*_{\mathbf{Q}}) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}(R_3/[R,R][R_3,F], \mathbf{Q}). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\alpha^0 : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}(R/[F,R], \mathbf{Q}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}(R_3/[R,R][R_3,F], \mathbf{Q})$ is given by

$$f \mapsto (r \pmod{[R,R][R_3,F]} \mapsto f(r \pmod{[F,R]})).$$

On the other hand, the exact sequence

$$0 \to R_3/R_4[R,R] \to R/R_4[F,R] \xrightarrow{R} /R_3 \to 0$$

splits since R/R_3 is a free abelian group of finite rank, we have an isomorphism

$$R/R_4[F,R] \cong R_3/R_4[R,R] \oplus R/R_3$$

as $\mathbf{Z}$-modules, and hence $(R/R_4[F,R])_{\mathbf{Q}} \cong (R_3/R_4[R,R])_{\mathbf{Q}} \oplus (R/R_3)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ as $\mathbf{Q}$-vector spaces. Fix this isomorphism, and let $p : (R/R_4[F,R])_{\mathbf{Q}} \to (R_3/R_4[R,R])_{\mathbf{Q}}$ be the projection map.

For any $g \in (R_3/R_4[F,R])^*_{\mathbf{Q}}$, consider the extension $\tilde{g} := g \circ p : (R/R_4[F,R])_{\mathbf{Q}} \to \mathbf{Q}$ of g. Set $\overline{g} := \tilde{g} \circ \gamma_{\mathbf{Q}} : (R/[F,R])_{\mathbf{Q}} \to \mathbf{Q}$ where $\gamma : R/[F,R] \to R/R_4[F,R]$ is the natural map. Then we see $\alpha^0(\overline{g}) = g$.

Conversely, for any $h \in \mathrm{Im}(\alpha^0) \cap (R_3/R_4)^*_{\mathbf{Q}}$, h vanishes on $R_4[F,R]/R_4$. Thus we see $h \in (R_3/R_4[F,R])^*_{\mathbf{Q}} \subset H^0(\mathrm{IA}_n, (R_3/[R,R])^*_{\mathbf{Q}})$. $\square$

Next we consider the image of α^0 in $H^1(\mathrm{IA}_n, (R^{\mathrm{ab}})^*_{\mathbf{Q}})$. Observe the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & R_3/[R,R] & \longrightarrow & R/[R,R] & \longrightarrow & R/R_3 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_F(3)/[\Gamma_F(2),\Gamma_F(2)] & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_F(2)^{\mathrm{ab}} & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_F(2)/\Gamma_F(3) & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

of $\mathrm{IA}_n^{\mathrm{ab}}$-modules, containing two exact sequences. This diagram and the natural projection $\mathrm{IA}_n \to \mathrm{IA}_n^{\mathrm{ab}}$ induces the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
H^1(\mathrm{IA}_n^{\mathrm{ab}}, (\Gamma_F(2)/\Gamma_F(3))^*_{\mathbf{Q}}) & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\beta}^1} & H^1(\mathrm{IA}_n^{\mathrm{ab}}, (\Gamma_F(2)^{\mathrm{ab}})^*_{\mathbf{Q}}) & \xrightarrow{d_2^{1,1}} & H_3(\mathrm{IA}_n^{\mathrm{ab}}, \mathbf{Q}) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \xi_1} & & \\
H^1(\mathrm{IA}_n^{\mathrm{ab}}, (R/R_3)^*_{\mathbf{Q}}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathrm{IA}_n^{\mathrm{ab}}, (R^{\mathrm{ab}})^*_{\mathbf{Q}}) & & \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \xi_2} & & \\
H^1(\mathrm{IA}_n, (R/R_3)^*_{\mathbf{Q}}) & \xrightarrow{\beta^1} & H^1(\mathrm{IA}_n, (R^{\mathrm{ab}})^*_{\mathbf{Q}}) & \xrightarrow{d_2^{1,1}} & H_3(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbf{Q})
\end{array} \tag{11}$$

of the first cohomologies of IA_n and $\mathrm{IA}_n^{\mathrm{ab}}$. Here ψ_1 is surjective and ψ_2 is an isomorphism.

Remark that through the isomorphism $d_2^{1,1}$, the target of $\widetilde{\beta}^1$ is isomorphic to the image of the triple cup product

$$\cup^3_{\mathrm{IA}_n^{\mathrm{ab}}} : \Lambda^3 H^1(\mathrm{IA}_n^{\mathrm{ab}}, \mathbf{Q}) \to H^3(\mathrm{IA}_n^{\mathrm{ab}}, \mathbf{Q})$$

since $\cup^3_{\mathrm{IA}_n^{\mathrm{ab}}}$ is isomorphism.

Now we show the main proposition of this subsection.

Proposition 3.3. *Through the isomorphism $d_2^{1,1}$, the image of β^1 is isomorphic to the image of the triple cup product*

$$\cup^3_{\mathrm{IA}_n} : \Lambda^3 H^1(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbf{Q}) \to H^3(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbf{Q}).$$

Proof. For any $f \in \mathrm{Im}(\beta^1)$, from the surjectivity of $\psi_2 \circ \psi_1$, there exists some element $\widetilde{f} \in H^1(\mathrm{IA}_n^{\mathrm{ab}}, (\Gamma_F(2)/\Gamma_F(3))^*_{\mathbf{Q}})$ such that $(\beta^1 \circ (\psi_2 \circ \psi_1))(\widetilde{f}) = f$. By the commutativity of (11), we see $\widetilde{\beta}^1 \circ (\xi_2 \circ \xi_1)(\widetilde{f}) = f$. With standard arguments and calculations, we can see that the homomorphism $\xi_2 \circ \xi_1 : H^3(\mathrm{IA}_n^{\mathrm{ab}}, \mathbf{Q}) \to H^3(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbf{Q})$ is equal to the natural homomorphsim induced from that projection $\mathrm{IA}_n \to \mathrm{IA}_n^{\mathrm{ab}}$. Therefore, we see $f \in \mathrm{Im}(\cup^3_{\mathrm{IA}_n})$.

On the other hand, since $H^1(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbf{Q}) \cong H^1(\mathrm{IA}_n^{\mathrm{ab}}, \mathbf{Q})$, for any $g \in \mathrm{Im}(\cup^3_{\mathrm{IA}_n})$, there exists some $\widetilde{g} \in \mathrm{Im}(\cup^3_{\mathrm{IA}_n^{\mathrm{ab}}})$ such that $\xi_2 \circ \xi_1(\widetilde{g}) = g$. Hence there exists some $h \in H^1(\mathrm{IA}_n^{\mathrm{ab}}, (\Gamma_F(2)/\Gamma_F(3))^*_{\mathbf{Q}})$ such that $\widetilde{\beta}^1(h) = \widetilde{g}$ and

$$\beta^1 \circ (\psi_2 \circ \psi_1)(h) = (\xi_2 \circ \xi_1) \circ \widetilde{\beta}^1(h) = g.$$

Thus $g \in \mathrm{Im}(\beta^1)$, and we obtain the required result. □

4. The case where $n = 3$

In this section, we show our main theorems. In the following, we always assume that $n = 3$.

To begin with, for $W = H_1(\mathrm{IA}_3, \mathbf{Z})$, we consider the irreducible decompositions as $\mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbf{Q})$-modules of several submodules of the free Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}_F^{\mathbf{Q}} := \bigoplus_{k\geq 1} \mathcal{L}_F^{\mathbf{Q}}(k)$ generated by $W_{\mathbf{Q}}$. Recall that the irreducible decomposition of $W_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is given by

$$W_{\mathbf{Q}} = [1] \oplus [1, 1, -1].$$

The list of highest weight vectors of $W_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is given as follows:

V	highest weight vector	$\dim_{\mathbf{Q}} V$
$[1]$	ι_1	3
$[1, 1, -1]$	K_{312}	6

In [39], Pettet gave the irreducible decomposition of $\mathcal{L}_F^{\mathbf{Q}}(2) = \Lambda^2 W_{\mathbf{Q}}$ by

$$\Lambda^2 W_{\mathbf{Q}} \cong [1, 1]^{\oplus 2} \oplus [2, 1, -1]^{\oplus 2}. \tag{12}$$

The list of linearly independent highest weight vectors are as follows:

V	highest weight vector	$\dim_{\mathbf{Q}} V$
$[1, 1]$	$\boldsymbol{v}_1 := [\iota_1, \iota_2]$	3
$[1, 1]$	$\boldsymbol{v}_2 := [K_{312}, \iota_3] + [\iota_1, K_{12}] - 2[K_{32}, \iota_1] + [K_{31}, \iota_2]$	3
$[2, 1, -1]$	$\boldsymbol{v}_3 := [K_{312}, K_{21}]$	15
$[2, 1, -1]$	$\boldsymbol{v}_4 := [K_{312}, \iota_1]$	15

Consider the injective homomorphism $(R/R_3)_{\mathbf{Q}} \to \mathcal{L}_F^{\mathbf{Q}}(2)$ induced from the natural inclusion $R \hookrightarrow \Gamma_F(2)$. We regard $(R/R_3)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ as a $\mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbf{Q})$-subrepresentation of $\mathcal{L}_W^{\mathbf{Q}}(2)$.

Lemma 4.1. $(R/R_3)_{\mathbf{Q}} \cong [1, 1] \oplus [2, 1, -1]$.

Proof. First, we check $\boldsymbol{v}_2, \boldsymbol{v}_4 \in (R/R_3)_{\mathbf{Q}}$. Observe the exact sequence (7) for $k = 2$, and recall that the second Johnson homomorphism $\tau'_{2,\mathbf{Q}} : \mathrm{gr}^2_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{A}'_3) \to H^*_{\mathbf{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathcal{L}_3^{\mathbf{Q}}(3)$ is injective since $\mathcal{A}'_3(k) = \mathcal{A}_3(k)$ for $k = 2$ and 3. Thus, from $\tau'_{2,\mathbf{Q}} \circ \pi_{2,\mathbf{Q}}(\boldsymbol{v}_i) = 0$ for $i = 2$ and 4, we see $\boldsymbol{v}_2, \boldsymbol{v}_4 \in (R/R_3)_{\mathbf{Q}}$.

This shows that $(R/R_3)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ contains a submodule isomorphic to $[1, 1] \oplus [2, 1, -1]$. On the other hand, from the fact that

$$\dim_{\mathbf{Q}}((R/R_3)_{\mathbf{Q}}) = \dim_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{L}_F^{\mathbf{Q}}(2)) - \dim_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathrm{gr}^2_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{A}'_3)) = 36 - 18 = 18$$

and $\dim_{\mathbf{Q}}([1, 1] \oplus [2, 1, -1]) = 18$, we obtain the required results. □

Next we give the irreducible decomposition of $\mathcal{L}_F^{\mathbf{Q}}(3)$. To do this, we prepare some lemmas. In general, for any representations V_1, V_2 of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbf{Q})$, and for any $k \geq 1$ we have the formula

$$\Lambda^k(V_1 \oplus V_2) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=k} (\Lambda^p V_1 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \Lambda^q V_2). \tag{13}$$

Then we have the following decompositions.

Lemma 4.2.

(1) $\Lambda^2[1,1,-1] \cong [2,1,-1]$.
(2) $[3,2] \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} [2,2] \cong [5,2,2] \oplus [4,3,2] \oplus [5,3,1] \oplus [5,4] \oplus [4,4,1]$.
(3) $\Lambda^3[1,1,-1] \cong [3] \oplus [2,2,-1]$.

Proof. (1). By applying (13) to $\Lambda^2 W_{\mathbf{Q}} = \Lambda^2([1] \oplus [1,1,-1])$, we have

$$\Lambda^2 W_{\mathbf{Q}} \cong \Lambda^2[1] \bigoplus ([1] \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} [1,1,-1]) \bigoplus \Lambda^2[1,1,-1].$$

Since $\Lambda^2[1] = [1,1]$ and since $[1] \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} [1,1,-1] \cong [1,1] \oplus [2,1,-1]$ by Pieri's rule, we obtain the required decomposition by (12).

(2). Since $n = 3$, we have

$$\Lambda^2[1] \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \Lambda^2[1] \cong (D \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} [1]) \bigoplus [2,2]$$

by Pieri's rule. On the other hand, by using Pieri's rule again, we can compute the irreducible decomposition of both of $(\Lambda^2[1] \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \Lambda^2[1]) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} [3,2]$ and $(D \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} [1]) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} [3,2]$. Hence by checking the difference between them, we obtain the required results.

(3). The module $\Lambda^3[1,1,-1]$ is a $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbf{Q})$-submodule of

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda^2[1,1,-1] \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} [1,1,-1] &\cong D^{-2} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} ([3,2] \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} [2,2]) \\ &= [3] \oplus [2,1] \oplus [3,1,-1] \oplus [3,2,-2] \oplus [2,2,-1].\end{aligned}$$

Since $\dim_{\mathbf{Q}} \Lambda^3[1,1,-1] = 20$, the unique possibility is $\Lambda^3[1,1,-1] \cong [3] \oplus [2,2,-1]$. □

Lemma 4.3.

(1) $\Lambda^3 W_{\mathbf{Q}} \cong [1^3] \oplus [2,1]^{\oplus 2} \oplus [3] \oplus [2,2,-1]^{\oplus 3} \oplus [3,1,-1]$.
(2) $\mathcal{L}_F^{\mathbf{Q}}(3) \cong [3] \oplus [2,1]^{\oplus 6} \oplus [1^3] \oplus [2,2,-1]^{\oplus 3} \oplus [3,1,-1]^{\oplus 3} \oplus [3,2,-2]^{\oplus 2}$.

Proof. (1). From (13),

$$\Lambda^3 W_{\mathbf{Q}} \cong \Lambda^3[1] \bigoplus (\Lambda^2[1,1] \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} W_{\mathbf{Q}}) \bigoplus (H_{\mathbf{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \Lambda^2 W_{\mathbf{Q}}) \bigoplus \Lambda^3 W_{\mathbf{Q}}.$$

Hence, from Lemma 4.2, we obtain the required result.

(2). Consider the $\mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbf{Q})$-equivariant surjective homomorphism $\Lambda^2 W_{\mathbf{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} W_{\mathbf{Q}} \to \mathcal{L}_F^{\mathbf{Q}}(3)$ whose kernel is $\Lambda^3 W_{\mathbf{Q}}$. On the other hand, we can compute the irreducible decomposition of $\Lambda^2 W_{\mathbf{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} W_{\mathbf{Q}}$ by using Pieri's rule and Lemma 4.2 as

$$\Lambda^2 W_{\mathbf{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} W_{\mathbf{Q}} \cong \big([3] \oplus [2,1]^{\oplus 4} \oplus [1^3] \oplus [2,2,-1]^{\oplus 3} \oplus [3,1,-1]^{\oplus 2} \oplus [3,2,-2]\big)^{\oplus 2}.$$

Thus from Part (1), we obtain the required result. □

Now, we consider the irreducible decomposition of $(R_3/R_4)_{\mathbf{Q}}$. Consider the $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbf{Q})$-equivariant homomorphism $[\,,\,]_{\mathbf{Q}} : (R/R_3)_{\mathbf{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathcal{L}_F^{\mathbf{Q}}(1) \to (R_3/R_4)_{\mathbf{Q}}$. The proof of the following proposition requires patient, lengthy and straightforward computation. Thus we give the proof in Section 5 later.

Proposition 4.4. *The map* $[\,,\,]_{\mathbf{Q}}$ *is injective.*

In our previous paper [41], we have determined the cokernel, and hence the image, of the third rational Johnson homomorphism $\tau_{3,\mathbf{Q}} : \mathrm{gr}^3(\mathcal{A}_3) \to H^*_{\mathbf{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathcal{L}_{n,\mathbf{Q}}(4)$. In particular, we showed that $\dim_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathrm{gr}^3_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{A}_3)) = 43$. From the fact that $\mathcal{A}'_3(3) = \mathcal{A}_3(3)$ and $\mathcal{A}'_3(4) \subset \mathcal{A}_3(4)$, we have the surjective homomorphism $\mathrm{gr}^3_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{A}'_3) \to \mathrm{gr}^3_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{A}_3)$. This shows that $\dim_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathrm{gr}^3_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{A}'_3)) \geq 43$. Thus, from the exact sequence (7) for $k = 3$ and $\dim_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathbf{Q}}_F(3)) = 240$, we see that $\dim_{\mathbf{Q}}((R_3/R_4)_{\mathbf{Q}}) \leq 197$. On the other hand, by Proposition 4.4, we see that $(R_3/R_4)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ has a subspace $\mathrm{Im}([\,,\,]_{\mathbf{Q}})$ whose dimension is 162, and that $\dim_{\mathbf{Q}}((R_3/R_4[R,F])_{\mathbf{Q}}) \leq 35$.

Theorem 4.5. *The module $(R_3/R_4[R,F])_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is an irreducible $\mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbf{Q})$-representation which is isomorphic to $[3,2,-2]$. In particular, $\dim_{\mathbf{Q}}((R_3/R_4[R,F])_{\mathbf{Q}}) = 35$.*

Proof. Observe highest weight vectors

$$[K_{312},\iota_1,K_{312}] = [K_{312},K_{21},K_{312}] + [K_{312},K_{31},K_{312}], \quad [K_{312},K_{31},K_{312}]$$

in $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbf{Q}}_F(3)$. By considering the Hall basis of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbf{Q}}_F(3)$ with respect to the ordering

$$K_{12} < K_{13} < K_{21} < K_{23} < K_{123} < K_{213} < K_{312} \tag{14}$$

among the generators of F, we see that the above highest weight vectors are linearly independent.

Since $[K_{312},\iota_1] \in R$, we see that the highest weight vector $[K_{312},\iota_1,K_{312}]$ belongs to $\mathrm{Im}([\,,\,]_{\mathbf{Q}})$. On the other hand, by a direct calculation, we see that

$$r := [K_{312},K_{31}^{-1},K_{312}][[K_{31}^{-1},K_{312}],[K_{32}^{-1},K_{31}^{-1}]]^{-1} \in R_3,$$

and hence $[K_{312},K_{31},K_{312}] = -r \in R_3/R_4$. Thus $[K_{312},K_{31},K_{312}]$ is non-trivial in $(R_3/R_4[R,F])_{\mathbf{Q}}$. Namely, $(R_3/R_4[R,F])_{\mathbf{Q}}$ contains an irreducible $\mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbf{Q})$-submodule $[3,2,-2]$ which dimension is 35. Since $\dim_{\mathbf{Q}}((R_3/R_4[R,F])_{\mathbf{Q}}) \leq 35$ as mentioned above, we obtain the required result. □

From Theorem 4.5, we see that $H^2(\mathrm{IA}_3,\mathbf{Q})/\mathrm{Im}(\cup_{\mathbf{Q}})$ contains an irreducible representation $[3,2,-2]^* = [2,-2,-3] \cong D^{-3} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} (5,1)$. On the other hand, as a corollary, we obtain the following.

Corollary 4.6. *$\mathcal{A}_3(4)/\mathcal{A}'_3(4)$ is finite.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.5, we see

$$\begin{aligned}\dim_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathrm{gr}^3_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{A}'_3)) &= \dim_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathbf{Q}}_F(3)) - \dim_{\mathbf{Q}}((R_3/R_4)_{\mathbf{Q}}) \\ &= 240 - 197 = 43.\end{aligned}$$

Namely, the natural surjective homomorphism $\mathrm{gr}^3_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{A}'_3) \to \mathrm{gr}^3_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{A}_3)$ is an isomorphism. Hence $\mathcal{A}'_3(4)$ has at most finite index in $\mathcal{A}_3(4)$. □

Finally, we consider the third cohomology group $H^3(\mathrm{IA}_3,\mathbf{Q})$. Let IO_3 be the quotient group of IA_3 by the inner automorphism group $\mathrm{Inn}\,F_3$. Bestvina, Bux and Margalit [4] showed that IO_3 has 2-dimensional Eilenberg-Maclane space, and that $H_2(\mathrm{IO}_3,\mathbf{Q})$ is infinitely generated. Hence, by using the spectral sequence of the group extension

$$1 \to \mathrm{Inn}\,F_3 \to \mathrm{IA}_3 \to \mathrm{IO}_3 \to 1,$$

we see that $H^3(\mathrm{IA}_3, \mathbf{Q})$ is infinitely generated. The following theorem shows that non-trivial elements in $H^3(\mathrm{IA}_3, \mathbf{Q})$ cannot be detected by the triple cup product of the first cohomology group of IA_3.

Theorem 4.7. *The image of the triple cup product*

$$\cup^3_{\mathrm{IA}_3} : \Lambda^3 H^1(\mathrm{IA}_3, \mathbf{Q}) \to H^3(\mathrm{IA}_3, \mathbf{Q})$$

is trivial.

Proof. Let $\delta : H^0(\mathrm{IA}_n, (R_3/[R,R])^*_{\mathbf{Q}}) \to H^1(\mathrm{IA}_n, (R/R_3)^*_{\mathbf{Q}})$ be the connecting homomorphism defined in Subsection 3.3. By Lemma 3.2, we see that the restriction of δ to $(R_3/R_4)^*_{\mathbf{Q}}$ induces the injective homomorphism

$$\overline{\delta} : (R_3/R_4)^*_{\mathbf{Q}}/(R_3/R_4[F,R])^*_{\mathbf{Q}} \to H^1(\mathrm{IA}_3, (R/R_3)^*_{\mathbf{Q}}).$$

From Theorem 4.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\dim_{\mathbf{Q}}&((R_3/R_4)^*_{\mathbf{Q}}/(R_3/R_4[F,R])^*_{\mathbf{Q}}) \\ &= \dim_{\mathbf{Q}}((R_3/R_4)^*_{\mathbf{Q}}) - \dim_{\mathbf{Q}}((R_3/R_4[F,R])^*_{\mathbf{Q}}) \\ &= 197 - 35 = 162.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since

$$H^1(\mathrm{IA}_3, (R/R_3)^*_{\mathbf{Q}}) \cong H^1(\mathrm{IA}_3, \mathbf{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} (R/R_3)^*_{\mathbf{Q}},$$

from Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbf{Q}}(H^1(\mathrm{IA}_3, (R/R_3)^*_{\mathbf{Q}})) = 162.$$

Therefore, the homomorphism $\overline{\delta}$ is an isomorphism. Thus $\beta^1 : H^1(\mathrm{IA}_3, (R/R_3)^*_{\mathbf{Q}}) \to H^3(\mathrm{IA}_3, \mathbf{Q})$ is zero map. Then by Proposition 3.3, we obtain the required result. □

5. Proof of Proposition 4.4

In this section, we give the proof of Proposition 4.4. The proof is done by patient lengthy hand calculation. To begin with, we explain how to prove, and show a brief of calculations.

By the natural injective homomorphism $R_3/R_4 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}_F(3)$, we consider R_3/R_4 as a submodule of $\mathcal{L}_F(3)$. We show that the homomorphism

$$[\,,\,] : R/R_3 \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{L}_F(1) \to \mathcal{L}_F(3)$$

given by $r \otimes x \mapsto [r, x]$ is injective. In order to do this, we show that the cokernel $\mathcal{L}_F(3)/\mathrm{Im}([\,,\,])$ is a free abelian group of rank

$$\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathcal{L}_F(3)) - \mathrm{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}}((R/R_3) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{L}_F(1)) = 240 - 162 = 78.$$

From this, we can see that the image $\mathrm{Im}([\,,\,])$ is a free abelian group of rank 162, and that the bracket map $[\,,\,]$ is injective.

We give basis of $\mathcal{L}_F(1)$ and R/R_3 as follows. First, the set

$$\mathfrak{E}_1 := \{K_{12}, K_{13}, K_{21}, K_{23}, K_{123}, K_{213}, K_{312}\} \subset \mathcal{L}_F(1)$$

forms a basis of $\mathcal{L}_F(1)$. Consider the relators

(R1)	$[K_{ij}, K_{kj}]$
(R2)	$[K_{ik}K_{kj}, K_{ij}]$
(R3)	$[K_{ij}K_{kj}, K_{ijk}]$
(R4)	$[K_{ik}K_{jk}, K_{kij}](K_{ik}K_{jk})[K_{ij}, K_{ki}K_{ji}](K_{ik}K_{jk})^{-1}$

in R for distinct i, j and k. These elements defines a basis of R/R_3 given as follows.

(R1-1)	$[K_{32}, K_{12}]$
(R1-2)	$[K_{23}, K_{13}]$
(R1-3)	$[K_{31}, K_{21}]$
(R2-1)	$[K_{23}, K_{12}] + [K_{13}, K_{12}]$
(R2-2)	$[K_{32}, K_{13}] - [K_{13}, K_{12}]$
(R2-3)	$[K_{23}, K_{21}] - [K_{21}, K_{13}]$
(R2-4)	$[K_{32}, K_{31}] - [K_{31}, K_{12}]$
(R2-5)	$[K_{31}, K_{23}] - [K_{23}, K_{21}]$
(R2-6)	$[K_{32}, K_{31}] + [K_{32}, K_{21}]$
(R3-1)	$[K_{123}, K_{32}] + [K_{123}, K_{12}]$
(R3-2)	$[K_{123}, K_{23}] + [K_{123}, K_{13}]$
(R3-3)	$[K_{213}, K_{31}] + [K_{213}, K_{21}]$
(R3-4)	$[K_{213}, K_{23}] + [K_{213}, K_{13}]$
(R3-5)	$[K_{312}, K_{31}] + [K_{312}, K_{21}]$
(R3-6)	$[K_{312}, K_{32}] + [K_{312}, K_{12}]$
(R4-1)	$[K_{312}, K_{23}] + [K_{312}, K_{13}] + [K_{21}, K_{12}] + [K_{31}, K_{12}]$
(R4-2)	$[K_{123}, K_{31}] + [K_{123}, K_{21}] + [K_{32}, K_{23}] - [K_{23}, K_{12}]$
(R4-3)	$[K_{213}, K_{12}] + [K_{213}, K_{32}] + [K_{31}, K_{13}] + [K_{21}, K_{13}]$

Let $\mathfrak{E}_2 \subset R/R_3$ be the set of the above elements. Then $\mathrm{Im}([\,,\,])$ is generated by 162 elements $[r, x]$ for any $r \in \mathfrak{E}_2$ and $x \in \mathfrak{E}_1$. Consider again the Hall basis of $\mathcal{L}_F^{\mathbf{Q}}(3)$ consisting the Magnus generators of F where its order is given by (14). The list of the Hall basis is given in Table 1 at the end of this section. An element $[K, L, M]$ of the Hall basis of $\mathcal{L}_F^{\mathbf{Q}}(3)$ satisfies $K > L \leq M$. The elements in Table 1 are arranged in order of L.

Now, let $\mathfrak{E}$ be the set of (the coset classes of) the Hall basis in the cokernel $\mathcal{L}_F(3)/\mathrm{Im}([\,,\,])$. Then $\mathfrak{E}$ forms generators of $\mathcal{L}_F(3)/\mathrm{Im}([\,,\,])$. For any $r \in \mathfrak{E}_2$ and $x \in \mathfrak{E}_1$, by considering an element $[r, x]$ as a relation $[r, x] = 0$ in $\mathcal{L}_F(3)/\mathrm{Im}([\,,\,])$, we remove some of generators of $\mathcal{L}_F(3)/\mathrm{Im}([\,,\,])$ one by one. Here we give a few examples.

(1) $[\mathbf{(R1\text{-}1)}, K]$.

For any $K \in \mathfrak{E}_1$, we have $[K_{32}, K_{12}, K] = 0 \in \mathcal{L}_F(3)/\mathrm{Im}([\,,\,])$, and hence we remove the generators $[K_{32}, K_{12}, K]$ from $\mathfrak{E}$.

(2) $[\mathbf{(R1\text{-}2)}, K]$.

For any $K \in \mathfrak{E}_1$, we have $[K_{23}, K_{13}, K] = 0 \in \mathcal{L}_F(3)/\mathrm{Im}([\,,\,])$. Namely, if necessary, by using the Jacobi identity, we see

$$\begin{cases} -[K_{13}, K_{12}, K_{23}] + [K_{23}, K_{12}, K_{13}] = 0, & K = K_{12}, \\ [K_{23}, K_{13}, K] = 0, & K_{13} < K. \end{cases}$$

Then we remove the generators $[K_{13}, K_{12}, K_{23}]$ and $[K_{23}, K_{13}, K]$ for any $K > K_{13}$ from $\mathfrak{E}$.

(3) $[\mathbf{(R1\text{-}3)}, K]$.

For any $K \in \mathfrak{E}_1$, by using $[K_{23}, K_{13}, K] = 0 \in \mathcal{L}_F(3)/\mathrm{Im}([\,,\,])$. we remove the generators $[K_{21}, K, K_{31}]$ for any $K < K_{21}$, and $[K_{31}, K_{21}, K]$ for any $K_{21} \leq K$ from $\mathfrak{E}$.

(4) $[\mathbf{(R2\text{-}1)}, K]$.

For any $K \in \mathfrak{E}_1$, consider $[K_{23}, K_{12}, K] + [K_{13}, K_{12}, K] = 0 \in \mathcal{L}_F(3)/\mathrm{Im}([\,,\,])$. If $K \neq K_{23}$, by using this relation we remove the generators $[K_{13}, K_{12}, K]$ from $\mathfrak{E}$. If $K = K_{23}$, by the argument in **(2)**, we have $[K_{13}, K_{12}, K_{23}] = [K_{23}, K_{12}, K_{13}] \in \mathcal{L}_F(3)/\mathrm{Im}([\,,\,])$. Thus the relation considering here is equivalent to

$$[K_{23}, K_{12}, K_{23}] + [K_{23}, K_{12}, K_{13}] = 0,$$

and hence we remove $[K_{23}, K_{12}, K_{13}]$ from $\mathfrak{E}$.

(5) $[\mathbf{(R2\text{-}2)}, K]$.

For any $K \in \mathfrak{E}_1$, consider $[K_{32}, K_{13}, K] - [K_{13}, K_{12}, K] = 0 \in \mathcal{L}_F(3)/\mathrm{Im}([\,,\,])$. We consider the following four cases.

	K	Relation	
(i)	K_{12}	$[K_{23}, K_{12}, K_{12}] + [K_{23}, K_{12}, K_{32}] = 0$	**(4)**, **(1)**
(ii)	K_{13}	$-[K_{23}, K_{12}, K_{23}] + [K_{32}, K_{13}, K_{13}] = 0$	**(4)**
(iii)	K_{23}	$[K_{32}, K_{13}, K_{23}] - [K_{32}, K_{13}, K_{13}] = 0$	**(2)**, **(4)**, **(5)-(ii)**
(iv)	otherwise	$[K_{23}, K_{12}, K] + [K_{32}, K_{13}, K] = 0$	**(4)**

From the above relations, we remove $[K_{23}, K_{12}, K_{12}]$, $[K_{23}, K_{12}, K_{23}]$, $[K_{32}, K_{13}, K_{13}]$ and $[K_{23}, K_{12}, K]$ for $K \neq K_{12}, K_{13}, K_{23}$ from $\mathfrak{E}$ in turn.

Hereafter, we repeat similar computations according to the following order.

	K
(6) $[$**(R2-3)**$, K]$	**(i)** $K = K_{12}$, **(ii)** $K = K_{13}$, **(iii)** $K = K_{31}$, **(iv)** $K \geq K_{21}$
(7) $[$**(R2-4)**$, K]$	**(i)** $K = K_{12}, K_{13}, K_{21}, K_{23}$, **(ii)** $K \geq K_{31}$
(8) $[$**(R2-5)**$, K]$	**(i)** $K = K_{31}$, **(ii)** $K \geq K_{23}, \neq K_{31}$, **(iii)** $K = K_{21}, K_{13}, K_{12}$
(9) $[$**(R2-6)**$, K]$	**(i)** $K \geq K_{31}$, **(ii)** $K = K_{23}, K_{21}, K_{13}, K_{12}$
(10) $[$**(R3-1)**$, K]$	**(i)** $K \geq K_{32}$, **(ii)** $K = K_{31}, K_{23}, K_{21}, K_{13}, K_{12}$
(11) $[$**(R3-2)**$, K]$	**(i)** $K \geq K_{23}$, **(ii)** $K = K_{21}, K_{13}, K_{12}$
(12) $[$**(R3-3)**$, K]$	**(i)** $K \geq K_{31}$, **(ii)** $K = K_{23}, K_{21}, K_{13}, K_{12}$
(13) $[$**(R3-4)**$, K]$	**(i)** $K \geq K_{23}$, **(ii)** $K = K_{21}, K_{13}, K_{12}$
(14) $[$**(R3-5)**$, K]$	**(i)** $K \geq K_{31}$, **(ii)** $K = K_{23}, K_{21}, K_{13}, K_{12}$
(15) $[$**(R3-6)**$, K]$	**(i)** $K \geq K_{32}$, **(ii)** $K = K_{31}, K_{23}, K_{21}, K_{13}, K_{12}$
(16) $[$**(R4-1)**$, K]$	**(i)** $K = K_{23}, K_{31}, K_{32}, K_{123}, K_{213}, K_{312}$, **(ii)** $K = K_{21}, K_{13}, K_{12}$
(17) $[$**(R4-2)**$, K]$	**(i)** $K = K_{31}, K_{32}, K_{123}, K_{213}, K_{312}$, **(ii)** $K = K_{23}, K_{21}, K_{13}, K_{12}$
(18) $[$**(R4-3)**$, K]$	**(i)** $K = K_{32}, K_{123}, K_{213}, K_{312}$, **(ii)** $K = K_{31}, K_{23}, K_{21}, K_{13}, K_{12}$

In each step, the removed generators are attached the step number in Table 1. For example, $[K_{31}, K_{12}, K_{12}]$ is removed from $\mathfrak{E}$ at the step **(7)-(i)**. Since all of the computations are straightforward and too lengthy to write down here, we leave it to the high-motivated reader as exercises.

Finally, we see that from the above computations, the cokernel $\mathcal{L}_F(3)/\mathrm{Im}([\,,\,])$ is a free abelian group with basis consisting the elements in Table 1 to which no step number attached. This completes the proof of Proposition 4.4.

Table 1.

$[K_{13}, K_{12}, K_{12}]$ **(4)**	$[K_{13}, K_{12}, K_{13}]$ **(4)**	$[K_{13}, K_{12}, K_{21}]$ **(4)**	$[K_{13}, K_{12}, K_{23}]$ **(4)**	$[K_{13}, K_{12}, K_{31}]$ **(4)**
$[K_{13}, K_{12}, K_{32}]$ **(4)**	$[K_{13}, K_{12}, K_{123}]$ **(4)**	$[K_{13}, K_{12}, K_{213}]$ **(4)**	$[K_{13}, K_{12}, K_{312}]$ **(4)**	
$[K_{21}, K_{12}, K_{12}]$	$[K_{21}, K_{12}, K_{13}]$ **(6i)**	$[K_{21}, K_{12}, K_{21}]$	$[K_{21}, K_{12}, K_{23}]$ **(8iii)**	$[K_{21}, K_{12}, K_{31}]$ **(3)**
$[K_{21}, K_{12}, K_{32}]$ **(9ii)**	$[K_{21}, K_{12}, K_{123}]$	$[K_{21}, K_{12}, K_{213}]$ **(12ii)**	$[K_{21}, K_{12}, K_{312}]$ **(14ii)**	
$[K_{23}, K_{12}, K_{12}]$ **(5)**	$[K_{23}, K_{12}, K_{13}]$ **(4)**	$[K_{23}, K_{12}, K_{21}]$ **(5)**	$[K_{23}, K_{12}, K_{23}]$ **(5)**	$[K_{23}, K_{12}, K_{31}]$ **(5)**
$[K_{23}, K_{12}, K_{32}]$ **(5)**	$[K_{23}, K_{12}, K_{123}]$ **(5)**	$[K_{23}, K_{12}, K_{213}]$ **(5)**	$[K_{23}, K_{12}, K_{312}]$ **(5)**	
$[K_{31}, K_{12}, K_{12}]$ **(7i)**	$[K_{31}, K_{12}, K_{13}]$ **(7i)**	$[K_{31}, K_{12}, K_{21}]$ **(7i)**	$[K_{31}, K_{12}, K_{23}]$ **(7i)**	$[K_{31}, K_{12}, K_{31}]$ **(7ii)**
$[K_{31}, K_{12}, K_{32}]$ **(7ii)**	$[K_{31}, K_{12}, K_{123}]$ **(7ii)**	$[K_{31}, K_{12}, K_{213}]$ **(7ii)**	$[K_{31}, K_{12}, K_{312}]$ **(7ii)**	
$[K_{32}, K_{12}, K_{12}]$ **(1)**	$[K_{32}, K_{12}, K_{13}]$ **(1)**	$[K_{32}, K_{12}, K_{21}]$ **(1)**	$[K_{32}, K_{12}, K_{23}]$ **(1)**	$[K_{32}, K_{12}, K_{31}]$ **(1)**
$[K_{32}, K_{12}, K_{32}]$ **(1)**	$[K_{32}, K_{12}, K_{123}]$ **(1)**	$[K_{32}, K_{12}, K_{213}]$ **(1)**	$[K_{32}, K_{12}, K_{312}]$ **(1)**	
$[K_{123}, K_{12}, K_{12}]$ **(10ii)**	$[K_{123}, K_{12}, K_{13}]$ **(11ii)**	$[K_{123}, K_{12}, K_{21}]$ **(10ii)**	$[K_{123}, K_{12}, K_{23}]$	$[K_{123}, K_{12}, K_{31}]$
$[K_{123}, K_{12}, K_{32}]$ **(10i)**	$[K_{123}, K_{12}, K_{123}]$ **(10i)**	$[K_{123}, K_{12}, K_{213}]$ **(10i)**	$[K_{123}, K_{12}, K_{312}]$ **(10i)**	
$[K_{213}, K_{12}, K_{12}]$	$[K_{213}, K_{12}, K_{13}]$ **(13ii)**	$[K_{213}, K_{12}, K_{21}]$	$[K_{213}, K_{12}, K_{23}]$ **(18ii)**	$[K_{213}, K_{12}, K_{31}]$ **(18ii)**
$[K_{213}, K_{12}, K_{32}]$ **(18ii)**	$[K_{213}, K_{12}, K_{123}]$	$[K_{213}, K_{12}, K_{213}]$	$[K_{213}, K_{12}, K_{312}]$	
$[K_{312}, K_{12}, K_{12}]$ **(15ii)**	$[K_{312}, K_{12}, K_{13}]$ **(16ii)**	$[K_{312}, K_{12}, K_{21}]$ **(15ii)**	$[K_{312}, K_{12}, K_{23}]$	$[K_{312}, K_{12}, K_{31}]$
$[K_{312}, K_{12}, K_{32}]$ **(15i)**	$[K_{312}, K_{12}, K_{123}]$ **(15i)**	$[K_{312}, K_{12}, K_{213}]$ **(15i)**	$[K_{312}, K_{12}, K_{312}]$ **(15i)**	

$[K_{21}, K_{13}, K_{13}]$ **(6ii)**	$[K_{21}, K_{13}, K_{21}]$ **(6iv)**	$[K_{21}, K_{13}, K_{23}]$ **(6iv)**	$[K_{21}, K_{13}, K_{31}]$ **(3)**	$[K_{21}, K_{13}, K_{32}]$ **(6iv)**
$[K_{21}, K_{13}, K_{123}]$ **(6iv)**	$[K_{21}, K_{13}, K_{213}]$ **(6iv)**	$[K_{21}, K_{13}, K_{312}]$ **(6iv)**		
$[K_{23}, K_{13}, K_{13}]$ **(2)**	$[K_{23}, K_{13}, K_{21}]$ **(2)**	$[K_{23}, K_{13}, K_{23}]$ **(2)**	$[K_{23}, K_{13}, K_{31}]$ **(2)**	$[K_{23}, K_{13}, K_{32}]$ **(2)**
$[K_{23}, K_{13}, K_{123}]$ **(2)**	$[K_{23}, K_{13}, K_{213}]$ **(2)**	$[K_{23}, K_{13}, K_{312}]$ **(2)**		
$[K_{31}, K_{13}, K_{13}]$	$[K_{31}, K_{13}, K_{21}]$ **(8i)**	$[K_{31}, K_{13}, K_{23}]$ **(8iii)**	$[K_{31}, K_{13}, K_{31}]$	$[K_{31}, K_{13}, K_{32}]$ **(9ii)**
$[K_{31}, K_{13}, K_{123}]$	$[K_{31}, K_{13}, K_{213}]$ **(12ii)**	$[K_{31}, K_{13}, K_{312}]$ **(14ii)**		
$[K_{32}, K_{13}, K_{13}]$ **(5)**	$[K_{32}, K_{13}, K_{21}]$	$[K_{32}, K_{13}, K_{23}]$	$[K_{32}, K_{13}, K_{31}]$	$[K_{32}, K_{13}, K_{32}]$
$[K_{32}, K_{13}, K_{123}]$ **(10ii)**	$[K_{32}, K_{13}, K_{213}]$	$[K_{32}, K_{13}, K_{312}]$ **(15ii)**		
$[K_{123}, K_{13}, K_{13}]$	$[K_{123}, K_{13}, K_{21}]$ **(17ii)**	$[K_{123}, K_{13}, K_{23}]$ **(11i)**	$[K_{123}, K_{13}, K_{31}]$ **(11i)**	$[K_{123}, K_{13}, K_{32}]$ **(11i)**
$[K_{123}, K_{13}, K_{123}]$ **(11i)**	$[K_{123}, K_{13}, K_{213}]$ **(11i)**	$[K_{123}, K_{13}, K_{312}]$ **(11i)**		
$[K_{213}, K_{13}, K_{13}]$ **(13ii)**	$[K_{213}, K_{13}, K_{21}]$ **(13ii)**	$[K_{213}, K_{13}, K_{23}]$ **(13i)**	$[K_{213}, K_{13}, K_{31}]$ **(13i)**	$[K_{213}, K_{13}, K_{32}]$ **(13i)**
$[K_{213}, K_{13}, K_{123}]$ **(13i)**	$[K_{213}, K_{13}, K_{213}]$ **(13i)**	$[K_{213}, K_{13}, K_{312}]$ **(13i)**		
$[K_{312}, K_{13}, K_{13}]$ **(16ii)**	$[K_{312}, K_{13}, K_{21}]$	$[K_{312}, K_{13}, K_{23}]$	$[K_{312}, K_{13}, K_{31}]$	$[K_{312}, K_{13}, K_{32}]$
$[K_{312}, K_{13}, K_{123}]$	$[K_{312}, K_{13}, K_{213}]$	$[K_{312}, K_{13}, K_{312}]$		

$[K_{23}, K_{21}, K_{21}]$ **(8iii)**	$[K_{23}, K_{21}, K_{23}]$ **(8ii)**	$[K_{23}, K_{21}, K_{31}]$ **(6iii)**	$[K_{23}, K_{21}, K_{32}]$ **(8ii)**	$[K_{23}, K_{21}, K_{123}]$ **(8ii)**
$[K_{23}, K_{21}, K_{213}]$ **(8ii)**	$[K_{23}, K_{21}, K_{312}]$ **(8ii)**			
$[K_{31}, K_{21}, K_{21}]$ **(3)**	$[K_{31}, K_{21}, K_{23}]$ **(3)**	$[K_{31}, K_{21}, K_{31}]$ **(3)**	$[K_{31}, K_{21}, K_{32}]$ **(3)**	$[K_{31}, K_{21}, K_{123}]$ **(3)**
$[K_{31}, K_{21}, K_{213}]$ **(3)**	$[K_{31}, K_{21}, K_{312}]$ **(3)**			
$[K_{32}, K_{21}, K_{21}]$ **(9ii)**	$[K_{32}, K_{21}, K_{23}]$ **(9ii)**	$[K_{32}, K_{21}, K_{31}]$ **(9i)**	$[K_{32}, K_{21}, K_{32}]$ **(9i)**	$[K_{32}, K_{21}, K_{123}]$ **(9i)**
$[K_{32}, K_{21}, K_{213}]$ **(9i)**	$[K_{32}, K_{21}, K_{312}]$ **(9i)**			
$[K_{123}, K_{21}, K_{21}]$	$[K_{123}, K_{21}, K_{23}]$ **(17ii)**	$[K_{123}, K_{21}, K_{31}]$ **(17ii)**	$[K_{123}, K_{21}, K_{32}]$ **(17ii)**	$[K_{123}, K_{21}, K_{123}]$
$[K_{123}, K_{21}, K_{213}]$	$[K_{123}, K_{21}, K_{312}]$			
$[K_{213}, K_{21}, K_{21}]$ **(12ii)**	$[K_{213}, K_{21}, K_{23}]$	$[K_{213}, K_{21}, K_{31}]$	$[K_{213}, K_{21}, K_{32}]$ **(18ii)**	$[K_{213}, K_{21}, K_{123}]$
$[K_{213}, K_{21}, K_{213}]$	$[K_{213}, K_{21}, K_{312}]$			
$[K_{312}, K_{21}, K_{21}]$ **(14ii)**	$[K_{312}, K_{21}, K_{23}]$	$[K_{312}, K_{21}, K_{31}]$ **(14i)**	$[K_{312}, K_{21}, K_{32}]$ **(14i)**	$[K_{312}, K_{21}, K_{123}]$ **(14i)**
$[K_{312}, K_{21}, K_{213}]$ **(14i)**	$[K_{312}, K_{21}, K_{312}]$ **(14i)**			

$[K_{31}, K_{23}, K_{23}]$	$[K_{31}, K_{23}, K_{31}]$	$[K_{31}, K_{23}, K_{32}]$	$[K_{31}, K_{23}, K_{123}]$ **(11ii)**	$[K_{31}, K_{23}, K_{213}]$ **(12ii)**
$[K_{31}, K_{23}, K_{312}]$ **(14ii)**				
$[K_{32}, K_{23}, K_{23}]$	$[K_{32}, K_{23}, K_{31}]$	$[K_{32}, K_{23}, K_{32}]$	$[K_{32}, K_{23}, K_{123}]$ **(10ii)**	$[K_{32}, K_{23}, K_{213}]$ **(18ii)**
$[K_{32}, K_{23}, K_{312}]$ **(15ii)**				
$[K_{123}, K_{23}, K_{23}]$ **(11ii)**	$[K_{123}, K_{23}, K_{31}]$	$[K_{123}, K_{23}, K_{32}]$	$[K_{123}, K_{23}, K_{123}]$	$[K_{123}, K_{23}, K_{213}]$
$[K_{123}, K_{23}, K_{312}]$				
$[K_{213}, K_{23}, K_{23}]$	$[K_{213}, K_{23}, K_{31}]$	$[K_{213}, K_{23}, K_{32}]$	$[K_{213}, K_{23}, K_{123}]$	$[K_{213}, K_{23}, K_{213}]$
$[K_{213}, K_{23}, K_{312}]$				
$[K_{312}, K_{23}, K_{23}]$ **(16i)**	$[K_{312}, K_{23}, K_{31}]$ **(16i)**	$[K_{312}, K_{23}, K_{32}]$ **(16i)**	$[K_{312}, K_{23}, K_{123}]$ **(16i)**	$[K_{312}, K_{23}, K_{213}]$ **(16i)**
$[K_{312}, K_{23}, K_{312}]$ **(16i)**				

$[K_{32}, K_{31}, K_{31}]$ **(16ii)**	$[K_{32}, K_{31}, K_{32}]$	$[K_{32}, K_{31}, K_{123}]$ **(10ii)**	$[K_{32}, K_{31}, K_{213}]$	$[K_{32}, K_{31}, K_{312}]$ **(15ii)**
$[K_{123}, K_{31}, K_{31}]$ **(17i)**	$[K_{123}, K_{31}, K_{32}]$ **(17i)**	$[K_{123}, K_{31}, K_{123}]$ **(17i)**	$[K_{123}, K_{31}, K_{213}]$ **(17i)**	$[K_{123}, K_{31}, K_{312}]$ **(17i)**
$[K_{213}, K_{31}, K_{31}]$ **(12i)**	$[K_{213}, K_{31}, K_{32}]$ **(12i)**	$[K_{213}, K_{31}, K_{123}]$ **(12i)**	$[K_{213}, K_{31}, K_{213}]$ **(12i)**	$[K_{213}, K_{31}, K_{312}]$ **(12i)**
$[K_{312}, K_{31}, K_{31}]$	$[K_{312}, K_{31}, K_{32}]$	$[K_{312}, K_{31}, K_{123}]$	$[K_{312}, K_{31}, K_{213}]$	$[K_{312}, K_{31}, K_{312}]$

$[K_{123}, K_{32}, K_{32}]$	$[K_{123}, K_{32}, K_{123}]$	$[K_{123}, K_{32}, K_{213}]$	$[K_{123}, K_{32}, K_{312}]$
$[K_{213}, K_{32}, K_{32}]$ **(18i)**	$[K_{213}, K_{32}, K_{123}]$ **(18i)**	$[K_{213}, K_{32}, K_{213}]$ **(18i)**	$[K_{213}, K_{32}, K_{312}]$ **(18i)**
$[K_{312}, K_{32}, K_{32}]$	$[K_{312}, K_{32}, K_{123}]$	$[K_{312}, K_{32}, K_{213}]$	$[K_{312}, K_{32}, K_{312}]$

$[K_{213}, K_{123}, K_{123}]$	$[K_{213}, K_{123}, K_{213}]$	$[K_{213}, K_{123}, K_{312}]$
$[K_{312}, K_{123}, K_{123}]$	$[K_{312}, K_{123}, K_{213}]$	$[K_{312}, K_{123}, K_{312}]$
$[K_{312}, K_{213}, K_{213}]$	$[K_{312}, K_{213}, K_{312}]$	

6. Acknowledgments

The author would like to express his sincere gratitude to Professor Shigeyuki Morita for discussing this research many times after his lecture for undergraduate students at Tokyo University of Science. His insatiable thirst for mathematics and lots of indisputable leading works have been the intense longing and the perfect model of the author as a mathematician. The author has been inspired by his mathematical spirits, and have supported by his unfailing encouragements for more than ten years.

The author would like to thank Andrew Putman for giving me usuful comments about the Andreadakis-Johnson filtration of Aut F_n.

This work is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 16K05155.

References

[1] S. Andreadakis; On the automorphisms of free groups and free nilpotent groups, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 15 (1965), 239-268.
[2] S. Bachmuth, Induced automorphisms of free groups and free metabelian groups. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 122 (1966), 1–17.
[3] L. Bartholdi, Automorphisms of free groups I, New York Journal of Mathematics 19 (2013), 395-421.
[4] M. Bestvina, Kai-Uwe Bux and D. Margalit; Dimension of the Torelli group for Out (F_n), Inventiones Mathematicae 170 (2007), no. 1, 1-32.
[5] N. Bourbaki; Lie groups and Lie algebra, Chapters 1–3, Softcover edition of the 2nd printing, Springer-Verlag (1989).
[6] T. Brady; The integral cohomology of $\mathrm{Out}_+(F_3)$. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 87 (1993), 123-167.
[7] F. Cohen and J. Pakianathan; On Automorphism Groups of Free Groups, and Their Nilpotent Quotients, preprint.
[8] F. Cohen and J. Pakianathan; On subgroups of the automorphism group of a free group and associated graded Lie algebras, preprint.
[9] J. Conant and K. Vogtmann; Morita classes in the homology of automorphism groups of free groups. Geom. Topol. 8 (2004), 1471-1499.
[10] M. Culler and K. Vogtmann; Moduli of graphs and automorphisms of free groups, Invent. math., 84 (1986), 91-119.
[11] M. Day and A. Putmamn; On the second homology group of the Torelli subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$, preprint, `arXiv:1408.6242`.
[12] N. Enomoto and T. Satoh; On the derivation algebra of the free Lie algebra and trace maps., Alg. and Geom. Top., 11 (2011) 2861-2901.
[13] B. Farb; Automorphisms of F_n which act trivially on homology, in preparation.
[14] S. Galatius; Stable homology of automorphism groups of free groups, Ann. of Math. 173 (2011), 705-768.

[15] F. Gerlitz; Ph.D. thesis, Cornell University (2002).
[16] S. M. Gersten; A presentation for the special automorphism group of a free group, J. Pure and Applied Algebra 33 (1984), 269-279.
[17] A. Hatcher and K. Vogtmann; Rational homology of $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$, Math. Res. Lett. 5 (1998), 759-780.
[18] M. Hall; A basis for free Lie rings and higher commutators in free groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1 (1950), 575-581.
[19] M. Hall; The theory of groups, second edition, AMS Chelsea Publishing 1999.
[20] P. J. Hilton and U. Stammbach; A Course in Homological Algebra, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 4, Springer-Verlag (1997).
[21] N. Kawazumi; Cohomological aspects of Magnus expansions, preprint, `arXiv:math.GT/0505497`.
[22] M. Kontsevich; Formal (non)commutative symplectic geometry, The Gelfand Mathematical Seminars, 1990-1992, 173-187, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1993.
[23] M. Kontsevich; Feynman diagrams and low-dimensional topology, First European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. II (Paris, 1992), 97-121, Progress in Mathematics, 120, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1994.
[24] S. Krstić and J. McCool; The non-finite presentability in $IA(F_3)$ and $GL_2(\mathbf{Z}[t, t^{-1}])$, Invent. Math. 129 (1997), 595-606.
[25] W. Magnus; Über n-dimensinale Gittertransformationen, Acta Math. 64 (1935), 353-367.
[26] W. Magnus, A. Karras and D. Solitar; Combinatorial group theory, Interscience Publ., New York (1966).
[27] J. McCool; Some remarks on IA automorphisms of free groups, Can. J. Math. Vol. XL, no. 5 (1998), 1144-1155.
[28] S. Morita; On the Homology Groups of the Mapping Class Groups of Orientable Surfaces with Twisted Coefficients, Proc. Japan Acad., 62, Ser. A (1986), 148-151.
[29] S. Morita; Families of Jacobian manifolds and characteristic classes of surface bundles I, Ann. Inst. Fourier 39 (1989), 777-810.
[30] S. Morita; Families of Jacobian manifolds and characteristic classes of surface bundles, II, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 105 (1989), 79-101.
[31] S. Morita; Abelian quotients of subgroups of the mapping class group of surfaces, Duke Mathematical Journal 70 (1993), 699-726.
[32] S. Morita; The extension of Johnson's homomorphism from the Torelli group to the mapping class group, Invent. math. 111 (1993), 197-224.
[33] S. Morita; Structure of the mapping class groups of surfaces: a survey and a prospect, Geometry and Topology Monographs Vol. 2 (1999), 349-406.
[34] S. Morita; Cohomological structure of the mapping class group and beyond, Proc. of Symp. in Pure Math. 74 (2006), 329-354.
[35] J. Nielsen; Die Isomorphismen der allgemeinen unendlichen Gruppe mit zwei Erzeugenden, Math. Ann. 78 (1918), 385-397.
[36] J. Nielsen; Die Isomorphismengruppe der freien Gruppen, Math. Ann. 91 (1924), 169-209.
[37] J. Nielsen; Untersuchungen zur Topologie der geschlossenen Zweiseitigen Fläschen, Acta Math. 50 (1927), 189-358.
[38] R. Ohashi; The rational homology group of $\mathrm{Out}(Fn)$ for $n \leq 6$. Experiment. Math. 17 (2008), no. 2, 167-179.
[39] A. Pettet; The Johnson homomorphism and the second cohomology of IA_n, Algebraic and Geometric Topology 5 (2005) 725-740.
[40] C. Reutenauer; Free Lie Algebras, London Mathematical Society monographs, new series, no. 7, Oxford University Press (1993).
[41] T. Satoh; New obstructions for the surjectivity of the Johnson homomorphism of the automorphism group of a free group, Journal of the London Mathematical Society, (2) 74 (2006) 341-360.
[42] T. Satoh; The cokernel of the Johnson homomorphisms of the automorphism group of a free metabelian group, Trans. of Amer. Math. Soc. 361 (2009), 2085-2107.
[43] T. Satoh; A survey of the Johnson homomorphisms of the automorphism groups of free groups and related topics, Handbook of Teichmüller theory, volume V. (editor: A. Papadopoulos), 167-209.

[44] T. Satoh; On the Johnson homomorphisms of the mapping class groups of surfaces, Handbook of Group actions, Vol. I, ALM31, 373-405, Higher Education Press and International Press Beijing-Boston.
[45] E. Witt; Treue Darstellung Liescher Ringe, Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik, 177 (1937), 152-160.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science Division II, Tokyo University of Science, 1-3, Kagurazaka, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8601, Japan
E-mail address: takao@rs.tus.ac.jp